

Created in 1965, the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC) is an interstate, intercounty and intercity agency that provides continuing, comprehensive and coordinated planning to shape a vision for the future growth of the Delaware Valley region. The region includes Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery counties, as well as the City of Philadelphia, in Pennsylvania; and Burlington, Camden, Gloucester and Mercer counties in New Jersey. DVRPC provides technical assistance and services; conducts high priority studies that respond to the requests and demands of member state and local governments; fosters cooperation among various constituents to forge a consensus on diverse regional issues; determines and meets the needs of the private sector; and practices public outreach efforts to promote two-way communication and public awareness of regional issues and the Commission.



Our logo is adapted from the official DVRPC seal, and is designed as a stylized image of the Delaware Valley. The outer ring symbolizes the region as a whole, while the diagonal bar signifies the Delaware River. The two adjoining crescents represent the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the State of New Jersey.

DVRPC is funded by a variety of funding sources including federal grants from the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Pennsylvania and New Jersey departments of transportation, as well as by DVRPC's state and local member governments. The authors, however, are solely responsible for its findings and conclusions, which may not represent the official views or policies of the funding agencies.

DVRPC fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. DVRPC's website may be translated into Spanish, Russian, and Traditional Chinese online by visiting www.dvrpc.org. Publications and other public documents can be made available in alternative languages or formats, if requested. For more information, please call (215) 238-2871.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0Background | 1 |
|---|----|
| 1.1 The Audit | 1 |
| 2.0 SR 1004 Erie Avenue | 3 |
| 2.1 Findings and Recommendations – Erie Avenue | 6 |
| 3.0 SR 4004 Olney Avenue | 21 |
| 3.1 Findings and Recommendations – Olney Avenue | 24 |
| 4.0 Conclusions | 35 |

<u>APPENDICIES</u>

| APPENDIX A Audit Team |
|---|
| APPENDIX B Maps – Erie Avenue |
| APPENDIX C Traffic Data – Erie Avenue |
| APPENDIX D Photo Log – Erie Avenue |
| APPENDIX E Response Sheet – Erie Avenue |
| APPENDIX F PennDOT Scope of Work – Erie Avenue |
| APPENDIX G Maps – Olney Avenue |
| APPENDIX H Traffic Data – Olney Avenue |
| APPENDIX I Photo Log – Olney Avenue |
| APPENDIX J Response Sheet – Olney Avenue |
| APPENDIX K PennDOT Scope of Work – Olney Avenue |
| APPENDIX L Checklist |

The crash data used in this report was provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission's traffic safety related transportation planning and programming purposes only. The raw data remains the property of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and its release to third parties is expressly prohibited without the written consent of the Department.

SR 1004 Erie Avenue and SR 4004 Olney Avenue Road Safety Audit

1.0 Background

This document is the final report for the Erie Avenue (SR 1004) and Olney Avenue (SR 4004) Road Safety Audits held on Wednesday and Thursday, April 9th and 10th, 2008. These corridors were identified in the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation's Top 5% Report. This annual report—a federal requirement for all states—lists not less than 5% of public road locations exhibiting the most severe safety needs as a condition for obligating Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding. The Road Safety Audits performed on Erie and Olney Avenues advance Pennsylvania's statewide safety goals.

This project represents the coordination of the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission's (DVRPC) Planning Work Program and the PennDOT District 6 Safety Plan. Road Safety Audits are a program component of DVRPC's annual work program. In Pennsylvania each PennDOT District Office is required to develop a safety plan to be incorporated into the state's SHSP. The recommended improvements identified for Erie and Olney Avenues through the Road Safety Audit process will be eligible for dedicated safety funding.

Whereas the goal of this project is to improve and promote transportation safety on the region's roadways while maintaining mobility, the main objective is to address the safe operation of the roadway and ensure a high level of safety for all road users. The road safety audit program is conducted to generate improvement recommendations and countermeasures for roadway segments demonstrating a history of, or potential for, a high incidence of motor vehicle crashes. The emphasis is placed on identifying low-cost, quick turnaround safety projects, but not at the exclusion of more complex, long term safety improvement recommendations.

1.1 The Audits

Prior to the road safety audit activities on site, DVRPC collected, reviewed, and analyzed data (video of roadway under different conditions, traffic volume data, turning movement counts, maps, aerial photographs, crash data and police reports, and completed transportation/traffic studies). Using the crash data, crash clusters were identified and mapped for locations along Erie and Olney Avenues. These locations were the main focus of the road safety audits.

To best utilize the time and expertise of our team members we conducted the Pre-audit meetings and field visits for both Erie and Olney Avenues all on the same day: Wednesday, April 9th. This was possible because the corridors are located

close to one another, both study sections were relatively short, and we were using the same team for both audits. Several details are covered during the Pre-audit meeting: definition of the road safety audit and how it differs from the corridor study process, the required steps of an audit, presentation of the corridor issues, and an exchange of ideas and knowledge of the roadway.

The largest part of the meeting was spent in an open forum discussing the findings of the crash analysis, the influence of traffic patterns, and identifying major trip generators. It is during these Pre-Audit meeting discussions that local knowledge and transportation expertise come together to establish a background of information that will be drawn from during the field visit. Lastly, a video showing each corridor under nighttime conditions was shown. These videos help team members identify lighting issues that are not apparent during the daytime field visit.

The field visit was conducted immediately following the Pre-audit meetings. The audit team, made up of federal, state, and local officials and other stakeholders, walked each corridor and identified transportation safety issues. The field visit for Erie Avenue was conducted first, followed by Olney Avenue in the afternoon (see Appendix A for the list of audit team members). On Thursday, April 10th, the Post-audit meeting was held during which the audit team spent most of the day discussing the findings from the field view, identifying strategies to address issues, and determining priorities.

2.0 SR 1004 Erie Avenue

Roadway Characteristics

The study area consists of approximately 2.5 miles of Erie Avenue (SR 1004) from Broad Street (PA 611) east to K Street in North Philadelphia (see Appendix B for Study Area Map). Erie Avenue has a functional class designation of principal arterial and runs in an east-west direction. The study area section of Erie Avenue is one lane in each direction with a center lane formerly used by the SEPTA #53 Trolley, and currently used in some sections of the corridor by SEPTA buses and as a left turn lane in many locations. The center lane has two sets of trolley tracks along a portion of the corridor, some of which are set within a raised concrete island. There is parking permitted curbside on both sides of the street intermittently throughout the study area. The speed limit in the study area is 30 MPH and there are no shoulders. There are a total of 36 intersections in the study area, 23 of which form four way intersections, 12 are "T" intersections, and 1 is a six way intersection; 18 are signalized.

Traffic volumes along the corridor vary. The highest were found in the eastern section between Whitaker Avenue and D Street where an AADT of 16,993 was recorded in 2005. This is within the heart of the corridor's light industrial section. Continuing through the eastern section volumes remain fairly consistent at approximately 15,000 (1999) and approximately 17,000 (2000) recorded at G Street and I Street respectively. In the western section near 10th Street volumes dropped to nearly half at 8,043 in 2005. Turning movement counts were conducted at six signalized intersections. The highest combined peak hour (AM and PM) intersection volumes were recorded at the Erie Avenue and B Street / Whitaker Avenue intersection which experienced an AM peak hour of 3,106 vehicles between 7:30 and 8:30 AM, and a PM peak period of 3,425 between 4:30 and 5:30 PM. The lowest combined peak period volume intersection was recorded at Erie Avenue and 5th Street through which 1,714 vehicles passed between 8:00 and 9:00 AM, and 2,426 vehicles between 4:45 and 5:45 PM. The remaining four intersections had peak volumes between the highest and lowest volumes.

Transit

There are several transit routes that travel through the study area. A station for the Broad Street Line subway is located at the intersection of Broad Street and Erie Avenue. The Broad Street Line subway, a rapid transit line, runs from the Fern Rock Transportation Center in North Philadelphia to Pattison Avenue in South Philadelphia. The Erie Avenue Subway Station provides access to the following connecting bus routes: 23, 53, and 56, C, H, and XH. The routes 53, 56, and 89

buses serve the study area and utilize the former trolley lanes. This requires pedestrians to cross one lane of traffic to board the bus.

The SEPTA route 53 bus travels from West Mount Airy to Huntington Park. The route 53 bus travels through the study area from Broad Street to 10th Street along Erie Avenue. Although Erie Avenue follows an east-west alignment, SEPTA considers the route 53 bus as following a north-south alignment. There are 27 AM southbound (eastbound) weekday buses, 31 southbound weekday PM buses, 26 AM northbound (westbound) weekday buses, and 29 PM northbound weekday buses. The average daily boarding for this service in 2007 was 2,593. The route 56 bus travels from Tacony to Nicetown via Torresdale and Erie Avenues. The route 56 bus travels the length of the study area. There are 44 AM eastbound weekday buses, 47 eastbound weekday PM buses, 40 AM westbound weekday buses, and 56 westbound weekday PM buses. The average daily boarding for this service in 2007 was 9,992. The route 89 bus travels from Kensington to Frankford. The route 89 bus travels in the study area from to B Street to G Street. There are 16 AM southbound weekday buses, 22 southbound weekday PM buses, 16 AM northbound weekday buses, and 21 northbound weekday PM buses. The average daily boarding for this service in 2007 was 1,952 passengers.

Land Use

Philadelphia is a city of neighborhoods, and the study section of Erie Avenue traverses several. The study area begins in the Lower Tioga neighborhood, travels through the Huntington Park South neighborhood and the East Huntington Park Industrial Area, and ends in the Juniata Park neighborhood. The western portion of the study area begins at the signalized intersection of Broad Street and Erie Avenue and stretches to the intersection of Erie Avenue and 2nd Street. Along this portion of the study area the land use is a dense urban mix of residential (attached, multifamily housing), commercial, and community use. The Broad Street intersection serves as a major commercial and transportation center for the surrounding neighborhoods; consequently there is heavy pedestrian activity at the intersection. Moving eastward, the Iglesia Evangelica Bautista Church is located at the six-way Erie Avenue/ Rising Sun Avenue/ 7th Street intersection, and there is increased pedestrian and commercial activity surrounding the intersection. The Taylor Bayard Elementary School is located between 6th and North Randolph Streets. At Lawrence Street the land use begins to transition from commercial and residential to industrial uses that typify the eastern section of the corridor.

Beginning at the signalized intersection of Erie Avenue and 2nd Street, the eastern portion of the study area stretches to the intersection of Erie Avenue and K Street. Along the eastern portion of the study area the land use is primarily industrial with pockets of commercial, residential, institutional, and community uses. The Roberto Clemente Middle School

is located between 2nd Street and North Front Street and St. Christopher's Hospital for Children is located between North Front Street and B Street. Along Erie Avenue there are a number of large manufacturing complexes, including the Coca-Cola bottling plant. The study area ends at K Street, at which point the land use has already begun to transition from industrial and commercial back to residential uses.

Crash Analysis

According to PennDOT's crash data there were 188 reportable crashes between 2004 and 2006. Reportable crashes are those that may result in a fatality, injury, and/or property damage rendering the vehicle disabled, requiring it be towed from the scene. A comprehensive analysis of the crash data is shown in Appendix C. There were 80 crashes recorded in 2004 (43%), 47 in 2005 (25%), and 61 in 2006 (32%). When analyzing crash frequency by month, June and March had the first and second highest number of crashes with 26 and 25 respectively. The months of July through December showed the most consistency where each recorded between 13 and 17 crashes. May, April, and February experienced 14, 12, and 11 each. Finally, the lowest crash total of the three-year period was 8 crashes recorded in January. Crash totals by day of week were somewhat more evenly distributed. The highest number was recorded on Wednesdays (31), and the least on Sundays (19). The remaining days had between 24 and 30 crashes. Regarding time of day, the afternoon hours between 2:00 PM and 6:00 PM had the highest concentration of crashes at 65 or 34.6%. Another less significant trend took place between 6:00 AM and 12:00 noon, which varied between 5 and 10 crashes per hour. The highest two hours, which had 17 crashes each, were 3:00 and 4:00 PM; the lowest was 5:00 AM which had 1 crash.

The top three collision types were angle crashes (61) at 32.4%, rear-end crashes (43) at 22.9%, and pedestrian crashes (29) at 15.4%. It is not uncommon to have a higher proportion of pedestrian crashes in an urban environment as walking and transit ridership are much more prevalent. There was one fatal crash during the study period, 162 (86.2%) injury crashes of varying levels of severity, 8 crashes coded as "unknown if injured", and 17 (9%) property damage only crashes. The majority of the crashes occurred during fair weather (75.5%) with 19.5% occurring during rainy conditions. Seventy six percent of the total occurred under dry road surface conditions. Typically the majority of crashes occur during daylight, but on Erie Avenue that percentage was only 61%, with 32% occurring under streetlights.

2.1 Findings and Recommendations – Erie Avenue

The following table summarizes the findings and recommendations of the Erie Avenue (SR 1004) Road Safety Audit. Shaded areas represent recommended strategies requiring a low level of effort for implementation with a high level of potential safety benefits.

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Sidewalks | | | |
| Sidewalks are in poor condition (cracked, missing, etc) maintenance needed, i.e., trash, debris | Reconstruct and rehabilitate sidewalks for the safe travel of pedestrians. Coordinate with City of Philadelphia Department of Public Works, neighborhood associations and residence to perform needed maintenance and cleaning on a regular basis | Medium | High |
| Bollards on the sidewalk obstruct pedestrian way and create a hit fixed object crash hazard for vehicles | Bollards are typically not illegal, and are used to prevent vehicles from parking on sidewalks. Coordinate with the Philadelphia Streets Dept. to develop another method to prevent sidewalk parking which doesn't obstruct the pedestrian way or create a potential hazard for motorists | Medium | High |

SR 1004 Erie Avenue Road Safety Audit

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Pedestrian Crossings Crosswalk pavement markings are faded or missing | Re-stripe and add pavement markings where missing in continental style striping (zebra-like lines installed perpendicular to the stop bar) and make consistent throughout corridor. Enhance these crossings with a backdrop over trolley tracks (highest/best lighted crosswalk if possible); conduct inventory and add as needed. | Medium | High |
| Trolley tracks in crosswalks create tripping hazard | Re-grade pavement to eliminate tripping hazard | High | High |
| Intersection corners and curbs are deteriorated; drainage problems as evidenced by water pooling at the curbs | Re-construct intersection corners and curbs | High | High |
| Pedestrian ramps are inadequate and not ADA compliant | Upgrade ramps with truncated domes and make ADA compliant | Medium | High |
| Pedestrian signal heads do not have necessary indication | Upgrade pedestrian signal heads with man/hand indicators and/or count-down timers | Medium | High |
| Signs | | | |
| Evidence of graffiti and other damage to signs along corridor | Conduct an inventory of street name sign and address as appropriate (posts, correct proximity to intersection, graffiti, legibility) | Low | High |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Street name signs posted too far | Correct the placement of street signs | Low | High |
| Street name signs posted too fail back from the intersection | in accordance with PennDOT regulations and/or Manual on | LOW | nigii |
| | (MUTCD) | | |
| Abandoned SEPTA Trolley Tracks and Concrete ROW | Long Term | | |
| Excess / unusable capacity due to trolley ROW | Remove the tracks and concrete ROW | High | High |
| • Former trolley infrastructure is used in a seemingly unregulated manner by SEPTA's buses which presents safety issues | Implement a "complete streets" improvement including a two-way left turn lane and bike lanes from capacity gained by removing | High | High |
| At grade trolley ROW serves as center turn lane, but is poorly | NOTE: SEPTA's official position is to | | |
| marked and somewhat confusing | re-instate the #56 trolley which would | | |
| Road surface changes without any notice and is in poor condition | preclude removing the tracks and infrastructure | | |
| in some locations | Medium Term | | |
| | Cover/fill tracks with a rubber cap to make crossing safer for cyclists and disabled users | High | High |
| | Remove outdated and unused concrete poles, and wires | Medium | High |
| | Short lerm: | | |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| | Prohibit buses from using the former trolley ROW (between Broad St and 12th St) as a dedicated bus lane due to the inherent safety issues resulting from merging between the Trolley ROW and the vehicle travel lanes | Low | High |
| <i>Parking</i> Vehicles parked too close to the intersection Vehicles parked in the bus pull-off areas Parking on sidewalks | Consider constructing bulb-outs on the corners where existing bus pull- offs are located Install "No Parking" signs at bus stop locations Develop corridor-wide strategy to prevent parking on sidewalks, possible solutions: -increased coordinated enforcement -new parking areas created by road geometry changes | High (due to ADA compliance) Low Medium | High Medium High |
| Speeding Buses and vehicles speeding | Coordinated enforcement between | Low | High |
| Signals | Department and SEPTA | | |
| Pole mounted signals are | Install signals on mast arms as | High | High |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| outdated and difficult for motorists to see because they are located off to the side of roadway out of the cone of vision. (approximately 60% of all crashes in the last 5 years are signal related according to the data) | appropriate | | |
| Bicycling | | | |
| No bike lanes for bicyclists | Add bike lanes, consider upgraded bike lane that includes a rumble strip edge line creating a potentially safer bicycling accommodation NOTE: special application requires BHSTE design exception | Medium | High |
| No "Share The Road" signs | Install "Share the Road" warning signs as appropriate to raise bicyclists' profile | Low | High |
| Lack of bicycle parking | Add bicycle parking where appropriate | Medium | Medium |
| Left Turn Accommodation | | | |
| Need for left turn accommodation | Establish former trolley ROW as a formal left turn lane where possible with upgraded striping and signage | Low | High |
| | | | |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Blocked Drainage Grates | | | |
| Evidence of trash obstructing drainage crates | Coordinate with the Philadelphia Public Works Dept. to remove trash and debris on a regular basis | Low | High |
| | | | |

| | Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|----|---|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Bı | road Street and Germantown Ave | | | |
| • | Pedestrian crossing over Erie Ave on eastside of Broad Street is very long and undefined, lane striping faded/ missing | Stripe crosswalk and create a pedestrian refuge over Erie Ave for pedestrians, add pavement marking to assist in guiding motorists and informing pedestrians | Medium | High |
| • | High pedestrian volumes, and movements are somewhat erratic; missing pedestrian signal heads; signs missing / damaged | Implement a "pedestrian scramble" signal phase and intersection treatments (pedestrian signal heads with countdown timers) | Medium | High |
| • | Reported red light running | Install red light running cameras to further compliance | High | High |
| • | Trolley tracks present hazard for bikers, and for the disabled | Remove trolley tracks | High | High |
| • | Undefined / inconsistent parking; parked vehicles compromise sight distance | Enforce parking restrictions through increased police presence | Medium | High |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Between Elder Street and 13th Street Sidewalk depression gathering trash/water | Address drainage problem and repair sidewalk | Medium | High |
| Trolley island flashing yellow signals not working | Repair flashing signal | Low | High |
| Old York Rd Short signal pole located in clear zone presents an HFO hazard | Relocate/remove signal pole | Medium | High |
| Marvine St Missing sidewalk section Germantown Ave to N Delhi St. | Replace missing sidewalk section | Medium | High |
| Transit boarding area located in the center of the roadway is poorly maintained and has a low profile making it an Hit Fixed Object (HFO) hazard | Improve and raise visibility of center boarding zone, add new color (bring to standard), add reflective markings | Low | High |
| Center transit boarding stop is difficult to access for the disabled Transit buses weave between former trolley ROW and travel lanes | Improve access to center boarding zone (make ADA compliant) Prohibit buses from using the former trolley ROW due to safety implications of merging on and off the raised concrete | Low Low | High High |
| Vicinity of bridge (near Cousin's | | | |
| Potentially dangerous merge by | Prohibit buses from using the | Low | High |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| buses on/off the raised trolley ROW | trolley ROW due to safety implications of merging on and off the raised concrete. | | |
| | | | |
| <i>10th St.</i> Signal may not be warranted | Verify signal warrant analysis via study | Low | Medium |
| Grading inconsistencies present problems for pedestrians; facility not in compliance with ADA regulations | Remove tracks, re-grade, make safer for pedestrians | High | High |
| Trolley tracks turn onto 10th St from Erie Ave and create a hazard for bicyclists | Remove tracks, make safer/more accessible for bicyclists | High | High |
| Signal heads turned askew | Re-orient the signal head to face on-coming traffic. | Low | High |
| | NOTE: Philadelphia Streets Department representatives notified Maintenance of the issue during the field visit. | | |
| Delhi St. | | | |
| Stop sign on the NW corner is turned away from southbound traffic on Delhi St | Orient stop sign for southbound motorist | Low | High |
| Curb ramps are not ADA compliant | Install ADA compliant curb ramps | Medium | High |
| Percy, 9 th St. | | | |
| • Curb ramps are too steep and water is pooled at the base | Repair or replace curb ramps making them ADA compliant and | Medium | High |

| | Site-Specific Safety Issues | | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| • | Bollards obstruct pedestrian way | • | address drainage issues Since bollards are allowed, develop corridor-wide strategy to prevent parking on sidewalks, i.e.: increased enforcement | Medium | High |
| • | Signal at southeast corner of 9 th misaligned | • | Re-align signal head | Low | High |
| • | Heavy pedestrian traffic associated with the C bus transfers at 9 th St combined with heavy traffic volume is potentially hazardous | • | Make transit stop more prominent, add necessary amenities | Medium | High |
| 8 th | 'St | | | | |
| • | Drainage issues at curb ramp on the NW corner | • | Repair or replace with ADA compliant curb ramps and address drainage issues | Medium | High |
| • | No amenities for transit passengers (shelters, benches, etc.) | • | Coordinate with SEPTA, the City of Philadelphia and appropriate neighborhood association for the provision of necessary amenities | Medium | High |
| Fr | anklin St | | | | |
| • | Street sign is covered with graffiti | • | Remove graffiti or replace sign | Low | High |
| | th - | | | | |
| <i>Ri</i> • | sing Sun Avenue/7" St Complicated signal timing (for traffic and pedestrians), too many signals | • | Evaluate need for a signal upgrade | Medium | High |
| • | Crosswalks are too long over Rising Sun Ave, crosswalk striping is | • | Reorient the crosswalks, install bulb-outs; evaluate the | Medium | Medium |

| | Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--------|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| • | inconsistent Cobblestone center lane is functioning as a two way LT lane, but is not signed or striped appropriately | appropriateness of a pedestrian scramble to ease crossings and reduce crossing times and delay Better establish the center lane as a turn lane through lane striping and signs | Medium | High |
| • | Missing pedestrian crossing over 7 th | Provide pedestrian crossing over | Low | High |
| • | St Vehicles parked near intersection compromises sight distance | Limit parking at intersection to improve visibility, enforce no parking areas | Low | High |
| • | No amenities for transit passengers (shelters, benches, etc.) | Coordinate with SEPTA, the City of Philadelphia, and appropriate neighborhood association to provide necessary transit amenities | Low | High |
| đ | ^h ~ | | | |
| • | Post mounted flashing school zone signs are not highly visible | Install school flashing signals on mast arms | Medium | High |
| • | 6 th St westbound school crossing sign is faded | Replace school crossing sign | Medium | High |
| B R | ayard Taylor School (between andolph St and 6 th St) | | | |
| • | Children cross 6 th St to a church/school facility | Increase pedestrian crossing amenities | Low | High |

| | Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|-----------------|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| • | Need for consistent school zone signing | Make school zone amenities/signs consistent with other school zones in the corridor | Medium | High |
| 5 ^t | ' St Cars are pulling up past stop bar into the crosswalks | Add "Stop Here on Red" signs; add more space between the crosswalk and stop bar | Low | Medium |
| Bi | ridge between Lawrence and 3 rd St | | | |
| • | Steel plates on the bridge are potential hazard (for bicyclists) | Remove steel plates (bike hazard) and rehab as appropriate | Low | High |
| • | Guide rail approaching the bridge needs upgrade, presents a HFO | Add guide rail delineation; upgrade end treatment and transitions | Low | High |
| | hazard because it has no transition and/or end treatment and is doubled paneled | Additional recommendation: Evaluate the need for bridge weight restriction | | |
| 2 ^{re} | S 6 4 | | | |
| • | Used car lot obstructing the sidewalk with parked cars | Enforce no parking on sidewalk | Low | High |
| | | | | |
| 2″ • | " Street and Sedgley Ave Time sensitive left turn restrictions at the intersection create confusion for the motorists | Consider re-routing NB Sedgley Ave. traffic enroute to Erie Ave. onto 3rd St or 5th St where they can access via a signalized intersection; analyze potential neighborhood impacts | Medium | Medium |

| | Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| • | These two intersections in close proximity create potentially unsafe conditions especially for 2 nd St, left turns from northbound Sedgley, and for westbound Erie Ave left turns to Sedgley | Consider signalizing Sedgley Ave and adding to the 2nd St signal plan; upgrade overall signalization | Medium | High |
| • | Left turn accommodation for westbound Erie Ave to southbound Sedgley Ave missing | Add LT lane on westbound Erie Ave for turns to southbound Sedgley Ave | Low | High |
| | | Additional recommendation: Consider making 2 nd St 1-way South, from Erie to improve LOS on the Sedgley Ave signal plan idea | | |
| • | Faded or missing lane striping; motorists are pulling up past stop bar into the crosswalks | Restripe pavement markings and add more space between the stop lines and crosswalk; install "Stop Here on Red" signs | Low | High |
| • | Cars illegally parked on sidewalk | Enforce no parking on sidewalks | Low | High |
| • | Curb cuts/ramps are offset from 2 nd St | Realign curb ramps | Medium | High |
| R | oberto Clemente School (between | | | |
| 2 ⁿ | ^a and Front) | | | |
| • | Inconsistent school zone signing | Install consistent school zone sign | Low | High |
| • | Missing pedestrian signal | Add pedestrian signal during the intersection improvement | Medium | Medium |
| • | Sidewalk pavement is missing | Replace sidewalk | Medium | High |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| along sidewalk opposite of schoolNo curb ramps at the school midblock crossing | Install ADA compliant curb ramps at the midblock crossing | Medium | High |
| Front St | | | |
| Missing pedestrian signals | Add man/hand pedestrian signals with countdown timers | Medium | High |
| Confusing and potentially hazardous pedestrian crossing | Improve pedestrian crossing with continental striping | Low | High |
| Yield sign (located near the bus stop) on north side should be reoriented towards Frie Ave | Install yield saw tooth pavement markings; possibly relocate yield sign | Low | High |
| Cars are parked on the sidewalk on the northwest corner forcing pedestrians to walk in the roadway and obstruct the view of the crosswalk | Prohibit/enforce no parking on northwest corner, widen sidewalks on northwest corner to better accommodate pedestrians | Low | High |
| Number 56 bus (WB) stop is at the channelized island on the northeast | Relocate westbound #56 bus stop to a safer location | Low | High |
| corner which present difficulty for school children to cross the roadway and proceed northbound on Front St | Long Term Consider roundabout Consider intersection redesign Reconfigure the channelized right evaluate the need and possibly remove if not warranted | High High Medium | High High Medium |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| A Street | | | |
| In trolley track area, 4 to 5 inch dip (tripping hazard) similar to I St intersection | Cover/fill tracks with a rubber cap (or other material) to make crossing safer for bikes and ADA compliance | High | High |
| Bus shelter obstructs sidewalk | Consider relocating bus shelter, or widening sidewalk | Medium | Medium |
| Erie is very wide-therefore | • Consider traffic calming measures, | Medium | High |
| promoting higher speeds | i.e., narrow the lanes through striping | | |
| B / Whitaker St. to I St. | | | |
| Missing speed limit signs | Install speed limit signs | Low | Medium |
| B St/ Whitaker St | | | |
| Trolley island creates confusion | Remove trolley island, or use reflective markings to make more visible, prominent | Low to High (depending on level of imprvt) | High |
| On the southeast corner there is a | Implement access management | Medium | High |
| gas station with uncontrolled access | for gas station | | |
| Left turn from Erie Ave to Whitaker St not clearly marked | Re-establish the center turn lane with lane striping and signs | Low | High |
| Southbound Whitaker St right turn onto Erie Ave westbound and northbound right turn onto Whitaker St from Erie Ave-vehicles observed using shoulder for parking | Enforce no parking zone | Medium | High |
| High number of angle crashes | Evaluate effects of increasing the | Medium | High |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| | all red signal phase to address angle crashes | | |
| Missing cross walk over B St | Replace missing cross walk striping | Low | High |
| Missing yield pavement markings on channelized right turn | Install saw tooth yield markings on channelized right turn | Low | High |
| | NOTE: Philadelphia Streets Department representatives stated this will be addressed under pending contract | | |
| I St intersection | | | |
| Unused SEPTA pole creates tripping hazard | Remove unused SEPTA pole | Low | High |
| Missing lane designation striping | Upgrade lane striping; add formalized left turn lane for all four approaches | Low | High |
| Pedestrian crosswalks are lacking | Add continental style pedestrian crosswalk striping | Low | High |
| Tracks and bridge uneven through intersection | Remove trolley tracks | High | High |

PRIORITY SUGGESTIONS

-Remove trolley infrastructure along the corridor (in phases in combination with road projects that address the major issues)

-Prohibit buses from raised trolley ROW

-Restripe/upgrade all crosswalks to continental style at every signalized intersection and high-priority pedestrian crossing location

3.0 SR 4004 Olney Avenue

Roadway Characteristics

The study area consists of a 1.6-mile stretch along Olney Avenue (SR 4004) in Philadelphia (see *Appendix B* for Study Area Map). The study area begins at the intersection of Olney and Broad Street (SR 0611) and continues on until Rising Sun Avenue (SR 1001). Olney Avenue runs in an east-west direction and has a functional classification of major arterial. Olney Avenue is one lane in each direction along the study area and has no shoulder, but does have on street parking permitted in select sections along the corridor. The speed limit in the study area is 30 MPH. There are a total of 28 intersections in the study area, 17 of which form four way intersections, and 11 "T" intersections; 16 are signalized.

Traffic volumes along the corridor are fairly consistent. The highest Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) was found in the eastern end of the corridor near Rising Sun Avenue where the AADT was approximately 12,500 vehicles per day between the years 2000 and 2005. This is most likely due to the higher volumes carried by Rising Sun Avenue, a major transportation corridor that connects to US 1 and carries traffic to Northeast Philadelphia. Continuing westward volumes remain fairly consistent where a volume of 13,500 (1998) was recorded near the intersection of 7th Street. Closer to Broad Street volumes dropped to 10,000 (1998) near 12th Street.

Turning movement counts were conducted at four signalized intersections. The highest combined peak hour (AM and PM) intersection volumes were recorded at the intersection of Olney and Broad Street, which experienced an AM peak hour of 3,826 vehicles between 7:45 and 8:45 AM, and a PM peak period of 3,605 between 5:30 and 6:30 PM. The remaining three intersections had significantly lower combined AM and PM volumes ranging between 3,563 and 4,734. Turning movement diagrams for each of the four intersections is included in the appendix.

Transit

Several transit routes travel through the study area. The Olney Transportation Center is located at the intersection of Broad Street and Olney Avenue and provides access to the Broad Street Line Subway Station and SEPTA bus terminal. The Broad Street Line Subway, a rapid transit line, runs from the Fern Rock Transportation Center in North Philadelphia to Pattison Avenue in South Philadelphia. The Olney Transportation Center also serves bus routes 6, 8, 18, 22, 26, 55, and 80, BSL, C and L. Sigler Travel, a division of Greyhound Bus Service, also uses the terminal to provide bus service to Atlantic City and to the Easton-Scranton area.

SEPTA bus routes 26 and 18 serve the length of the study area. The 26 bus, which travels from Germantown to Olney and the Frankford Transportation Center via Olney Avenue, operates 42 AM weekday eastbound buses, 51 PM weekday eastbound buses, 48 AM weekday westbound buses, and 55 PM weekday westbound buses. Average daily boardings for this service in 2007 were 11,403. The route 18 bus, which travels from Cedarbrook to Lawndale and Fox Chase via Olney and Rising Sun Avenues, operates 43 AM weekday westbound buses, 85 PM weekday westbound buses, 78 AM weekday eastbound buses, and 58 PM weekday eastbound buses. Average daily boardings for this service in 2007 were 18,025. The 57 bus route serves the study area from Front Street to Rising Sun Avenue, and travels from South Philadelphia to Fern Rock Transportation Center via American, 3rd, and 4th Streets. The route 57 bus operates 40 weekday northbound AM buses and 52 weekday northbound PM buses, with an average daily boarding of 10,096.

Land Use

The study area is located in the Olney-Oak Lane section of North Philadelphia. The study area begins bordering the eastern edge of the Fern Rock and East Logan neighborhoods and then travels through the Olney neighborhood. The western portion of Olney Avenue in the study area stretches from the intersection with Broad Street to the intersection with 5th Street. Einstein Hospital and the Olney Transportation Center—plus a number of commercial uses—are located at the Broad Street intersection. Along the western portion of the study area from Broad Street to 5th Avenue the land use is mixed residential, commercial, and parking. The intersection of Olney and 5th Avenues serves as a major destination due to the heavy commercial activity located on and around 5th Avenue and resulting in increased pedestrian activity in the vicinity.

The eastern portion of Olney Avenue in the study area stretches from 5th Avenue to Rising Sun Avenue. The land use throughout the eastern portion is mixed residential, commercial, and community use. The One and Olney Square, a large shopping center, is located at the intersection of Front Street and Olney Avenue. Grover Washington Middle School and a recreational park straddle Olney Avenue between Front and B Streets. The study area ends at Rising Sun Avenue, across from which is located Tacony Creek Park.

Crash Data

According to PennDOT's crash data there were 132 reportable crashes between 2004 and 2006. Reportable crashes are crashes that may result in a fatality, injury, and/or property damage rendering the vehicle disabled, requiring it be towed from the scene. A comprehensive analysis of the crash data is shown in Appendix F. There were 43 crashes recorded in 2004 (32.5%), 40 in 2005 (30%), and 49 in 2006 (37.5%). When analyzing crash frequency by month, February had the

most crashes with 17, and March and October had the least with 7 each, closely followed by January, which had 8. July and May had the next highest crashes with 14 and 13 respectively. Twelve crashes per month were recorded in June, September, November, and December. April and August saw 9 crashes each. Crash totals by day of week were less evenly distributed. The highest crash total was recorded on Tuesdays (31), and the least on Sundays (11). The remaining days had between 13 and 24 crashes. Regarding time of day, the late morning until mid-afternoon hours between 11:00 AM and 3:00 PM had the highest concentration of crashes at 45 or 34%. Another trend—albeit less significant—occurred during the evening commute time of 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM when an average of 7 crashes per hour was recorded. When combined, they account for 20% of the total. A spike of 11 crashes was recorded during the 8:00 AM hour, which may be a reflection of increased volumes during the morning commute.

The top three collision types were angle crashes (40) at 30%, pedestrian crashes (35) at 26%, and rear-end crashes (32) at 24%. As was also the case along Erie Avenue, it is not uncommon to have a higher proportion of pedestrian crashes in an urban environment, as walking and transit usage are much more prevalent. There were two fatal crashes during the study period, 127 (96%) injury crashes of varying levels of severity, 1 crash coded as "unknown if injured", and 2 property damage only crashes. Eighty four percent of the crashes occurred under clear weather conditions, with 12% occurring during rainy conditions. Eighty three percent of the total occurred on dry road surface conditions and seventy four percent during daylight hours.

3.1 Findings and Recommendations – Olney Avenue

The following table summarizes the findings and recommendations of the SR 4004 Olney Avenue Road Safety Audit. Shaded areas represent recommended strategies requiring a low level of effort for implementation with a high level of potential safety benefits.

SR 4004 Olney Avenue Road Safety Audit

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------|
| | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| Signals | | | |
| Some signals may not be warranted | Re-evaluate signal warrants | Low | Medium |
| Approximately 64% of all crashes have occurred at signalized intersections from 2004 to 2006 | Re-evaluate clearance interval | Medium | High |
| Sun glare compromises sight distance | Add back plates to signal heads | Low | High |
| Signal mountings are outdated | Upgrade signals with mast arms | High | Medium |
| Signs | | | |
| Inconsistent location of street name and one-way signs | Conduct a sign inventory and address consistency issue | Low | High |
| Signs were unreadable, outdated, and without reflectivity | Replace with new signs that meet code specifications and are reflective | Medium | High |

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------|
| | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| Pedestrian Crossings Missing pedestrian signal heads at every intersection except at Broad Street | Upgrade existing traffic signals with man/hand pedestrian signal heads with countdown timers | Medium | High |
| Damaged/depressed/missing curbs | Repair walkways at intersections (consider bulb outs as needed) | Medium | High |
| Curb ramps not consistently ADA compliant | Make all curb ramps ADA compliant | Medium | High |
| Drainage issues at curb ramps | Address drainage issues at curb ramps | Medium | High |
| Mixed crosswalk types (conventional and continental) NOTE: Twenty six percent (26%) of all crashes were pedestrian related from 2004 to 2006. | Standardize all signalized intersections with continental style striping, install at non-signalized intersections where deemed necessary, i.e. school zones, or other trip generators | Medium | High |
| Sidewalks | | | |
| Sidewalks in disrepair and/or missing | Repair/replace sidewalks where necessary | Medium | High |
| SEPTA poles obstruct the sidewalk | Remove old poles | Medium | Medium |
| Poorly set drainage grates create obstructions for bicyclists and pedestrians | Reset drainage grates as necessary and make flush with pavement | Medium | High |
| Illegal parking on sidewalks | Coordinate with the Philadelphia Streets Dept. to develop a strategy to prevent sidewalk parking that obstructs the pedestrian way | Medium | High |

| | Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|----------|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Bid • | cycling Substandard drainage grates for bicycling | Convert to bicycle safe drainage grates, improve in tandem with improved ADA compliant curb ramps | Medium | High |
| • | Bicycle accommodation is not provided | Install shared lane markings (aka "sharrows"), and add additional "share the road" signs | Low | High |
| • | Lack of bike parking at commercial centers and at Olney Transportation Center | Install appropriate bicycle parking at the transportation center and commercial centers | Low | Medium |
| • | Poorly defined ROW at intersections | • Re-stripe lanes as necessary NOTE: Although only 2 out of 132 crashes were pedalcycle related this is considered an indicator of bicycle usage. These improvements are pro- active in an effort to improve the bicycling environment. | Low | High |
| Tra | ansit | | | |
| • | Buses stopped in the travel way cause traffic to back up, resulting | Enforce parking restrictions at bus stops | Low | High |
| | in motorists using the opposing lane to bypass buses | Consider curb bulb outs at selected bus stop locations that have high ridership | Medium | High |

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|--|---|--|
| Speeding Field observations revealed excessive speeds and red light running | Potential for speed reduction through engineering and enforcement strategies i.e., lane narrowing (note: existing lanes are already 10 feet wide along some parts of the corridor), automated enforcement, targeted police patrol, "Safety Corridor" designation (where fines are doubled); evaluate feasibility of reducing the posted speed limit to 25 mph based on speed study | Low to Medium (depending on which strategies are pursued) | Medium To High (depending on which strategies are pursued) |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|---|--|-----------------|----------------|
| | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| Rising Sun Avenue | | | |
| Trolley tracks create problems for motorists and bicyclists | Address track issues by removing/paving over/or other safety treatment | High | High |
| Uncontrolled access at gas station on both Olney Ave and Rising Sun Ave | Design access management plan for gas station, close off duplicative access to provide improved pedestrian conditions, i.e., more sidewalk and a formal/prominent bus stop location | Medium | High |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|
| | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| Number 18 SEPTA bus stop on Rising Sun Ave southbound causes back ups and pedestrian problems | Relocate bus stop to 2nd block of Olney Ave | Medium | High |
| Stop bar location on Olney Ave eastbound at Rising Sun Ave constricts mobility | Push back the Olney Ave eastbound approach stop bar to allow easier movements from Rising Sun Ave northbound to Olney Ave westbound | Low | High |
| | Additional recommendations: Consider shifting double yellow center lines to create a wider westbound lane on Olney Ave to ease left turns from Rising Sun Ave northbound | Medium | Medium |
| | Add dotted lead line to guide turning traffic | Low | High |
| | Investigate need for former trolley turn around, consider better use/new design for transit transfer | Medium | Medium |
| Between Rosehill St and Ormes St | | | |
| Two and a half (2.5) foot diameter tree trunk in clear zone | Remove tree trunk | Low | High |
| Missing curb Old trolley pole across from Rosehill St | Replace curbsRemove pole | Medium Medium | Medium Medium |

| | Site-Specific Issues | | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| • | Missing curb near Ormes St, and alley between Ormes St and B St is missing pavement | • | Replace curbs and missing pavement | Medium | High |
| B | St | | | | |
| • | Missing school zone ahead warning sign on B St approaching Olney Ave | • | Add school zone warning sign | Medium | High |
| • | Traffic signal head is tilted out of view for motorists on northbound B St | • | Position the traffic signal head facing oncoming northbound traffic on B Street | Low | High |
| • | SEPTA "no stopping" sign is faded | • | Replace the sign | Low | Medium |
| • | School crossing sign is ineffective | • | Add arrow plaque to the bottom of the existing school crossing sign for westbound Olney Ave | Low | Medium |
| Area of RR Overpass (Front St to B St) | | | | | |
| • | Sidewalk trash, fence in disrepair, missing and broken sidewalks/curbs (especially along Olney Ave westbound near Front St., deformed sidewalk patch is tripping hazard) | • | Perform sidewalk maintenance, repair/replace as necessary; remove trash | Low | Medium |
| • | Hilly terrain encourages speeding | • | Calming traffic by narrowing lanes (widen striped center area) | Medium | High |
| • | Unsanctioned drop off and pick up area by school used regularly | • | Establish a designated drop-off and pick-up zone for the school | Low | High |
| | | | | | |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Front St Missing/broken/uneven sidewalks Southeast corner there is a low point gathering water Faded pavement markings and podestrian crossings | Repair/replace sidewalks Repair low point to address drainage problem Re-stripe all pavement markings | Medium Medium Low | Medium High High |
| pedestrian crossings | | | |
| Mascher St Drainage issue at the northwest corner at the curb ramp | Repair ramp and fix drainage issue | Medium | High |
| Faded/missing pedestrian crossing markings | Upgrade all pedestrian crossings with continental style crosswalks | Low | High |
| Crosswalks appear too close to stop bar South side of Olney Ave west of Mascher St, sidewalk is badly damaged | Reposition stop bars to appropriate location Repair sidewalk | Low Medium | Medium Medium |
| Between Palethorp St and 2nd St | Evaluate need for base repair | High | High |
| American St Open trench obstructing pedestrian way | Clear way and repair sidewalk | Medium | High |
| 3rd St | | | |
| One way sign facing wrong way | Reorient sign | Low | High |
| 4th St Traffic signal pole is located in curb ramp (SW corner) Signal may pat be warranted | Relocate signal pole or curb ramp as appropriate | High | High |
| Signal may not be wanalled | Re-evaluate signal warrants | weatum | Medium |

| | Site-Specific Issues | | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 5th St | | | improvemente | | Callety Benefit |
| • | Drainage inlet located in curb ramp area | • | Relocate curb ramp and/or inlet | Medium | High |
| • | Heavy pedestrian volume in the 5 th St business district | • | Evaluate needs and benefits of a pedestrian scramble phase and lane striping for intersection | Low | High |
| • | Heavy bus transfer volume between the following SEPTA bus routes (47, 18, 26) | • | Add pedestrian signal heads w/ countdown timers | High | High |
| • | Missing "no turn on red" signs | • | Add "no turn on red" signs, enforce | Low | High |
| • | Pedestrian crossings are faded | • | Re-stripe pedestrian crossings | Low | High |
| • | Post mounted signal heads can be hard to see | • | Install traffic signals on overhead mast arms for better visibility | High | High |
| Fairhill St | | | | | |
| ٠ | Poor roadway condition | • | Repair roadway, repave, re-stripe | High | High |
| • | Local car wash/inspection station using sidewalk to store vehicles, obstructing pedestrian ROW | • | Enforce no parking on sidewalks | Low | High |
| • | Poor sidewalk condition in vicinity of 6th St, drainage problem | • | Address drainage issues and repair sidewalk | Medium | High |
| Southside of Olney Ave between | | | | | |
| 6 th | St and Fairhill St | | | | |
| • | Broken post | • | Remove post or replace missing sign | Medium | Medium |
| West of 7th St. (moving west) | | | | | |
| • | Missing bridge height restriction sign | • | Replace sign | Medium | High |
| • | Hospital sign badly faded | • | Replace sign | Medium | High |

| | Site-Specific Issues | | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| • | Lump of asphalt creating tripping hazard | • | Remove tripping hazard | Low | Medium |
| • | Drainage problem on north side | • | Address drainage problem | Medium | Medium |
| So | utheast Corner of Wagner St | | | | |
| and Olney Ave | | | | | |
| • | General lack of maintenance and deterioration in the area of the bridge overpass | • | Conduct an evaluation and perform maintenance and repair where needed | Medium | High |
| • | Bus stop area missing crosswalk and designated pedestrian crossing area | • | Add pedestrian crosswalks, warning signs, and standard pedestrian amenities; this is supported by observed pedestrian activity | Medium | High |
| 10 ^t | ^h St | | | | |
| • | Southwest corner drainage grate is a tripping hazard | • | Remove tripping hazard | Low | Medium |
| • | 10 th St carries the SEPTA C bus and there is a significant transfer point at Olney Ave—high traffic volume at this point is a safety hazard to pedestrians | • | Raise the profile of pedestrians and transit riders by improving the transit and pedestrian amenities | Medium | High |
| Btw 10 th and 11 th | | | | | |
| • | Damaged curb and sidewalk | • | Upgrade curb and sidewalk | Medium | High |
| 11 th St | | | | | |
| • | Hospital sign is faded Gas utility cover ajar | • | Replace hospital sign Replace utility cover and make flush with pavement | Low Low | Medium Medium |
| | Site-Specific Issues | Recommended | Level of Effort | Potential |
|----|--|--|-----------------|----------------|
| | | Improvements | | Safety Benefit |
| • | Curb ramps are collecting water | Repair curb ramps to address drainage, make ADA compliant; coordinate w/ Philadelphia Public Works dept. | Medium | High |
| ٠ | Debris in the area | Clean up the area | Low | Medium |
| • | Damaged signal visor | Repair signal visor | Low | High |
| | | | | |
| Bt | w 11th and Broad | | | |
| • | Marvine St curb ramp is offset | Realign curb ramp and make ADA compliant. | Medium | High |
| • | At 12 th St, "no parking" signs for bus zone are damaged | Replace no parking signs, enforce zones | Medium | High |
| • | Stop sign at 12th St was turned | Reposition stop sign | Low | High |
| • | At Park Ave one way sign facing wrong way, and is blocked by the pole | Move/reposition one way sign | Low | High |
| De | rk St and Olmov Ave | | | |
| • | Missing pedestrian signal heads, other amenities | Install pedestrian countdown signal heads, and other pedestrian amenities as deemed appropriate | Medium | High |
| • | Vehicles bypassing stop bar and stopping in crosswalk, obstructing pedestrians | Consider prohibiting traffic from Olney between Park and Broad, make bus only with dedicated pedestrian plaza, evaluate impacts of traffic diversion | High | Medium |

| one-opecific issues | Improvements | Level of Effort | Potential Safety Benefit |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Olney Ave and Broad St | | | |
| Heavy pedestrian traffic with heavy vehicular traffic in the area which heightens pedestrian safety concerns | Consider signs and pavement markings to raise the profile of pedestrians/transit riders | Low | High |
| Pedestrian crossings over Broad St are very long | Consider redesign of pedestrian refuge island at the Broad St crossing | Medium | High |
| Pedestrian heads not working properly | Repair pedestrian signal heads (i.e., walk/don't walk/walk) | Low | High |

PRIORITY SUGGESTIONS

OLNEY AVENUE -Improved pedestrian environment -Rising Sun Ave intersection improvements -Sign and signal inventory and upgrades -Recirculation of the Bus Transfer area -Enforce no parking on sidewalks

4.0 Conclusions

As discussed earlier, the road safety audit program is conducted to generate improvement recommendations and countermeasures for roadway segments demonstrating a history of, or potential for, a high incidence of motor vehicle crashes. The safety issues identified during the audit and documented in this report along with recommended strategies should improve the overall safety of both Erie and Olney Avenues. These remedial strategies can be implemented as time and budget limitations permit. Both corridors were identified in PennDOT's Top 5% Report making them eligible for Highway Safety Improvement Program funding. It should be noted that many of the identified strategies can be implemented during routine maintenance.

The prevalence of pedestrian involved crashes in both the Erie (15%) and Olney (26%) corridors is of particular concern. Although this is more common in the urban environment, it is none the less an important finding. Many of the recommendations, both corridor-wide and site specific, address pedestrian issues to make crossings safer and to raise the profile of walkers and transit riders. These improvements are especially important at the Broad Street intersections of both corridors due to the high volume of pedestrians accessing transit, combined with heavy traffic volumes.

Lastly, the former trolley right-of-way along Erie Avenue, particularly the raised concrete section found in the western portion of the corridor, was an issue of great concern among audit team members. The field visit revealed SEPTA buses utilizing this center lane as a dedicated bus-way. Buses mounting and dismounting the raised platform at considerable speeds, combined with transit riders having to board in the middle of street, presents safety compromises that need to be addressed in the short term.

APPENDIX A Audit Team

SR 1004 Erie Avenue and SR 4004 Olney Avenue - Road Safety Audit

<u>Audit Team</u>

| Name | Organization |
|------------------------------|--|
| Rosemarie Anderson | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| John Boyle | Bicycle Coalition of Philadelphia |
| Sgt. Christopher Bradshaw | Philadelphia Police Department - 35th District |
| Lawrence Bucci | Pennsylvania Department of Transportation - District 6 |
| Mike Castellano | Federal Highway Administration |
| Sgt. Doreen Dean | Philadelphia Police Department - Truck Enforcement |
| Jim Dellipriscoli | Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority |
| David Dlugosz | City of Philadelphia Streets Department |
| Joseph M. Doyle | City of Philadelphia - Street Lighting |
| Joseph M. Fiocco, P.E., PTOE | McMahon Associates, Inc. |
| Chris Henrick | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| John Madera | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Leonard McCleary | Hunting Park Neighborhood Advisory Committee |
| Regina Moore | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Kevin Murphy | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Jillian Puleo | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Mark Washington | City of Philadelphia Streets Department |

APPENDIX B Maps – Erie Avenue





APPENDIX C Traffic Data – Erie Avenue

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310003 |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| <u>User ID:</u> Area of Interest: | Ikubli (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0020 Offset 0 and Segment 0070 Offset 20) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |

Criteria: STATE ROAD

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

RSA ERIE AVE 0020/0000 TO 0070/0020

Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

USER ID/QUERY ID Ikubli/ <u>062008031000</u> PENNDOT

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0020 Offset 0 and Segment 0070 Offset 20) Interest

| MONTH OF | YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | DAYOF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | | 12 | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 8 | 11 | 25 | 12 | 14 | 26 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 188 | CRASHES | 19 | 24 | 30 | 31 | 26 | 30 | 28 | 188 |
| PCT | 4% | 5% | 13% | 6% | 7% | 13% | 6% | 7% | 8% | 9% | 9% | 7% | 100% | PCT | 10% | 12% | 15% | 16% | 13% | 15% | 14% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

TOTAL

| moon or | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 99 | |
| CRASHES | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 188 |
| PCT | 3% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 3% | 4% | 4% | 3% | 5% | 2% | 4% | 1% | 4% | 9% | 9% | 5% | 5% | 3% | 6% | 3% | 1% | 2% | 6% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | (PE | | CRASH SEVERIT | Y LEVE | L | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------|--------------|-------|------|----------------|---------|------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | - | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 80 | 42% | ANGLE | 61 | 32% | FATAL | 1 | 0% | FATALITIES | 1 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 151 | 38% |
| 2005 | 47 | 25% | REAR END | 43 | 22% | MAJOR | 7 | 3% | MAJOR | 7 | UNKNOWN | 109 | 27% |
| 2006 | 61 | 32% | PEDESTRIAN | 29 | 15% | MODERATE | 34 | 18% | MODERATE | 42 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 30 | 7% |
| TOTAL | 188 | 100% | HEAD ON | 18 | 9% | MINOR | 82 | 43% | MINOR | 134 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 14 | 3% |
| TOTAL | | | | 16 | 8% | | 39 | 20% | UNK SEVERITY | 74 | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 9 | 2% |
| | | | | 16 | 8% | | 8 | 4% | | 55 | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 8 | 2% |
| | | | SAME DIR SS | 10 | 0.10 | UNK IF INJURED | 0 | 470 | ONK IF INJORED | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 8 | 2% |
| | | | OPP DIR SS | 5 | 2% | PDO | 17 | 9% | | | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 7 | 1% |
| | | | TOTAL | 188 | 100% | TOTAL | 188 | 100% | | | TAILGATING | 7 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 6 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 6 | 1% |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 396 | 100% |
|---------------|----------|-----|--------|----------|------|---------------------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|---------------------|---------|------|
| VEHICLE TYP | E | | ROAD C | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FAC | TORS | |
| | VEHICLES | PCT | 2 | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 266 | 73% | DRY | 143 | 76% | DAYLIGHT | 115 | 61% | CLEAR | 142 | 75% | NONE | 152 | 77% |
| VAN | 23 | 6% | WET | 43 | 22% | STREET LIGHTS | 61 | 32% | RAIN | 37 | 19% | UNKNOWN | 19 | 9% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 16 | 4% | OTHER | 2 | 1% | DUSK | 5 | 2% | UNK | 5 | 2% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 14 | 7% |
| SUV | 16 | 4% | TOTAL | 188 | 100% | DARK | 3 | 1% | SNOW | 2 | 1% | OTHER WEATHER COND | 6 | 3% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 13 | 3% | TOTAL | r sugar | | DAMAN | 1 | 0% | OTHER | 1 | 0% | OTHER RDWY FACTOR | 2 | 1% |
| MOTORCYCLE | 8 | 2% | | | | DAWN | | 0.0% | OTHER | | 0% | WINDY CONDITIONS | 2 | 1% |
| BUS | 8 | 2% | | | | OTHER | | 0.70 | SLEET | 1 | 0.76 | OTHER ENVIR FACTOR | 1 | 0% |
| | 6 | 1% | | | | UNK | 1 | 0% | TOTAL | 188 | 100% | SUDDEN WEATHER COND | 1 | 0% |
| | 4 | 1% | | | | UNK LIGHTING | 1 | 0% | | | | TOTAL | 197 | 100% |
| OTHER VEHICLE | 2 | 0% | | | | TOTAL | 188 | 100% | | | | | | |

362 100%

5 1%

36 9%

AFFECTED PHYSICAL COND

OTHERS

1. SR 1004 Erie Avenue from Broad Street to Germantown Avenue Segment 20, Offset 0 to Segment 20, Offset 130



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|--------------------|----|
| Pedestrian | 7 |
| Angle | 3 |
| Rear-end | 2 |
| Same Dir Sideswipe | 2 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 1 |
| Opp Dir Sideswipe | 1 |
| Total | 16 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Street Lights | 7 |
| Daylight | 5 |
| Dusk | 2 |
| Dark | 1 |
| Unk Lighting | 1 |
| Total | 16 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 11 |
| Rain | 3 |
| Unknown | 2 |
| Total | 16 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| Minor | 13 |
| Unk Severity | 5 |
| Unk If Injured | 7 |
| | |



RSA ERIE AVE 0020/0000 TO 0020/0130



Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0020 Offset 0 and Segment 0020 Offset 130) or (In County 67 Interest: On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0021 Offset 0 and Segment 0021 Offset 130)

| MONTH OF | ONTH OF YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | FEB | MAR | APR | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 16 |
| PCT | 6% | 25% | 6% | 25% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 100% | PCT | 6% | 6% | 12% | 18% | 6% | 6% | 43% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

| A) | 00 | 01 | 07 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 99 | |
|---------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| PCT | 6% | 12% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 31% | 6% | 12% | 6% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYPE | | CRASH SEVERIT | Y LEVEL | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|-----------|----------------|------|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 7 | 43% | PEDESTRIAN 7 | 43% | MODERATE | 2 12% | FATALITIES | 0 | UNKNOWN | 11 | 44% |
| 2005 | 4 | 25% | ANGLE 3 | 18% | MINOR | 8 50% | MAJOR | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 5 | 20% |
| 2006 | 5 | 31% | REAR END 2 | 12% | UNK SEVERITY | 4 25% | MODERATE | 2 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 3 | 12% |
| TOTAL | 16 | 100% | SAME DIR SS 2 | 12% | LINK IE IN JURED | 1 6% | MINOR | 13 | AFFECTED PHYSICAL COND | 1 | 4% |
| TOTAL | 04250 | 0.000.000 | | 6% | PDO | 1 6% | LINK SEVERITY | 5 | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 4% |
| | | | HITFIXOBJ | 01/ | FDO | 46 4000/ | | 7 | RUNNING RED LIGHT | া | 4% |
| | | | OPP DIR SS | 0% | TOTAL | 16 100% | UNK IF INJURED | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 4% |
| | | | TOTAL 16 | 100% | | | | | USING HAND-HELD PHONE | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | WRONG WAY ON 1-WAY | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 25 | 100% |

| VEHICLE TYP | PE | | ROAD CONDITION | | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | | | |
|-------------|----------|------|----------------|---------|------|---------------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | - | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 15 | 65% | DRY | 10 | 62% | STREET LIGHTS | 7 | 43% | CLEAR | 11 | 68% | NONE | 11 | 64% |
| BUS | 2 | 8% | WET | 5 | 31% | DAYLIGHT | 5 | 31% | RAIN | 3 | 18% | UNKNOWN | 3 | 17% |
| VAN | 2 | 8% | OTHER | 1 | 6% | DUSK | 2 | 12% | UNK | 2 | 12% | OTHER ENVIR FACTOR | 1 | 5% |
| UNK VEHICLE | 2 | 8% | TOTAL | 16 | 100% | DAPK | 1 | 6% | TOTAL | 16 | 100% | OTHER WEATHER COND | 1 | 5% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 1 | 4% | TOTAL | | | UNKLICHTING | 1 | 6% | TOTAL | | | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 1 | 5% |
| PEDALCYCLE | 1 | 4% | | | | TOTAL | 16 | 100% | | | | TOTAL | 17 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 23 | 100% | | | | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310001 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | lkubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0020 Offset 0 and Segment 0020 Offset 130) or (In County 67 On |
| | State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0021 Offset 0 and Segment 0021 Offset 130) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





2. SR 1004 Erie Avenue at 9th Street Segment 30, Offset 796 to Segment 30, Offset 882



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|----------------|---|
| Pedestrian | 3 |
| Rear-end | 1 |
| Total | 4 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 3 |
| Street Lights | 1 |
| Total | 4 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 2 |
| Rain | 1 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Total | 4 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 1 |
| Major | 1 |
| Moderate | 0 |
| Minor | 1 |
| Unk Severity | 1 |
| Unk If Injured | 2 |



RSA ERIE AVE 0030/0796 to 0030/0882

Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0030 Offset 796 and Segment 0030 Offset 882) or (In County Interest: 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0031 Offset 796 and Segment 0031 Offset 882)

| NTH OF Y | EAR | | | | |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | APR | MAY | OCT | NOV | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| PCT | 25% | 25% | 25% | 25% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 03 | 07 | 10 | 16 | |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| PCT | 25% | 25% | 25% | 25% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYP | PE | | CRASH SEVERI | TY LEVE | Ĺ. | SEVERITY COUNT | ī l | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------|---------------|------|------|---|---------|------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRAS | SHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 3 | 75% | PEDESTRIAN | 3 | 75% | FATAL | 1 | 25% | FATALITIES | 1 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 2 | 40% |
| 2005 | 1 | 25% | REAR END | 1 | 25% | MAJOR | 1 | 25% | MAJOR | 1 | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 1 | 20% |
| TOTAL | 4 | 100% | TOTAL | 4 | 100% | MINOR | 1 | 25% | MODERATE | 0 | TAILGATING | 1 | 20% |
| | | | | | | LINK SEVERITY | 1 | 25% | MINOR | 1 | UNKNOWN | 1 | 20% |
| | | | | | | TOTAL | 4 | 100% | UNK SEVERITY | 1 | TOTAL | 5 | 100% |
| | | | | | | All man and the second s | | | UNK IF INJURED | 2 | | | |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | | ROAD CO | NDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | |
|--------------|----------|------|---------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 3 | 60% | DRY | 3 | 75% | DAYLIGHT | 3 75% | CLEAR | 2 | 50% | NONE | 3 | 75% |
| BUS | 1 | 20% | WET | 1 | 25% | STREET LIGHTS | 1 25% | RAIN | 1 | 25% | UNKNOWN | 1 | 25% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 1 | 20% | TOTAL | 4 | 100% | TOTAL | 4 100% | UNK | 1 | 25% | TOTAL | 4 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 5 | 100% | | | | | | TOTAL | 4 | 100% | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in lititation without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310004 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0030 Offset 796 and Segment 0030 Offset 882) or (In County 67 |
| | On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0031 Offset 796 and Segment 0031 Offset 882) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)



3. SR 1004 Erie Avenue at 7th Street and Rising Sun Avenue Segment 30, Offset 1432 to Segment 30, Offset 1446



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|----------------|---|
| Pedestrian | 3 |
| Rear-end | 2 |
| Angle | 1 |
| Total | 6 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 4 |
| Street Lights | 2 |
| Total | 6 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 6 |
| Total | 6 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 1 |
| Minor | 3 |
| Unk Severity | 2 |
| Unk If Injured | 0 |
| | |



RSA ERIE AVE 0030/1432 to 0030/1446

Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0030 Offset 1432 and Segment 0030 Offset 1446) or (In Interest: County 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0031 Offset 1432 and Segment 0031 Offset 1446)

| MONTH OF YEAR | | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| MAY | JUN | SEP | OCT | | | MON | TUE | WED | THR | |
| CRASHES 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| PCT 16% | 50% | 16% | 16% | 100% | PCT | 16% | 16% | 50% | 16% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 07 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 99 | |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| PCT | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 16% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYPE | | CRASH SEVERI | TY LEVEL | SEVERITY COUNT | ī l | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------|----------------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 3 | 50% | PEDESTRIAN | 3 50% | MODERATE | 1 16% | FATALITIES | 0 | UNKNOWN | 5 | 55% |
| 2006 | 3 | 50% | REAR END | 2 33% | MINOR | 2 33% | MAJOR | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 3 | 33% |
| TOTAL | 6 | 100% | ANGLE | 16% | UNK SEVERITY | 2 33% | MODERATE | 1 | CARELESS/ILLEGAL BACKING | 1 | 11% |
| | | | TOTAL | 6 100% | PDO | 1 16% | MINOR | 3 | TOTAL | 9 | 100% |
| | | | 6 | | TOTAL | 6 100% | UNK SEVERITY | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | Minister | | UNK IF INJURED | 0 | | | |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | | ROAD CO | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY | FACTORS | |
|--------------|----------|------|---------|----------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------------|---------|-----|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 6 | 66% | DRY | 6 | 100% | DAYLIGHT | 4 66% | CLEAR | 6 100% | NONE | 4 6 | 66% |
| SUV | 2 | 22% | TOTAL | 6 | 100% | STREET LIGHTS | 2 33% | TOTAL | 6 100% | UNKNOWN | 2 3 | 33% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 1 | 11% | | | | TOTAL | 6 100% | - | | TOTAL | 6 10 | 00% |
| TOTAL | 9 | 100% | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in lititation without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310005 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | lkubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0030 Offset 1432 and Segment 0030 Offset 1446) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0031 Offset 1432 and Segment 0031 Offset 1446) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| <u>Criteria:</u> | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)







| COLLISION TYPE | |
|----------------|----|
| Pedestrian | 4 |
| Angle | 3 |
| Rear-end | 3 |
| Total | 10 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 7 |
| Street Lights | 3 |
| Total | 10 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 8 |
| Rain | 2 |
| Total | 10 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| Minor | 7 |
| Unk Severity | 3 |
| Unk If Injured | 2 |



RSA ERIE AVE 0040/0000 TO 0040/0266



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 0 and Segment 0040 Offset 266) or (In County 67 Interest: On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 0 and Segment 0041 Offset 266)

| MONTH OF | IONTH OF YEAR | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--|--|
| | FEB | MAR | APR | JUN | SEP | OCT | DEC | | | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 10 | | |
| PCT | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 30% | 10% | 20% | 100% | | |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 08 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 99 | |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| PCT | 10% | 10% | 10% | 20% | 10% | 20% | 10% | 10% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | /PE | | CRASH SEVERI | TY LEVE | Ĺ, | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|--|--------------|---------|------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 4 | 40% | PEDESTRIAN | 4 | 40% | MODERATE | 1 | 10% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 8 | 34% |
| 2005 | 1 | 10% | ANGLE | 3 | 30% | MINOR | 6 | 60% | MAJOR | 0 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 3 | 13% |
| 2006 | 5 | 50% | REAR END | 3 | 30% | UNK SEVERITY | 3 | 30% | MODERATE | 2 | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 3 | 13% |
| TOTAL | 10 | 100% | ΤΟΤΑΙ | 10 | 100% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | MINOR | 7 | UNKNOWN | 3 | 13% |
| 10112 | 0875 | 0.9630.015 | | 0.1003 | 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | LINK SEVERITY | 3 | SPEEDING | 2 | 8% |
| | | | | | | | | | | 2 | FAILURE TO RESPOND TCD | ा. | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | UNK IF INJURED | 2 | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 23 | 100% |
| | And the second second second | _ | | | | | | _ | | | | | |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | ROAD CO | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | | |
|--------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 2 | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 14 | 82% | DRY | 8 | 80% | DAYLIGHT | 7 70% | CLEAR | 8 | 80% | NONE | 8 | 80% |
| SUV | 2 | 11% | WET | 2 | 20% | STREET LIGHTS | 3 30% | RAIN | 2 | 20% | UNKNOWN | 2 | 20% |
| MOTORCYCLE | 1 | 5% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | TOTAL | 10 100% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 17 | 100% | - | | | | | - | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in gueries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310006 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 0 and Segment 0040 Offset 266) or (In County 67 On |
| | State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 0 and Segment 0041 Offset 266) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT.







| COLLISION TYPE | |
|--------------------|----|
| Angle | 6 |
| Head-on | 5 |
| Same Dir Sideswipe | 4 |
| Rear-end | 2 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 1 |
| Opp Dir Sideswipe | 1 |
| Total | 19 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 9 |
| Street Lights | 8 |
| Dusk | 1 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Total | 19 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 10 |
| Rain | 8 |
| Other | 1 |
| Total | 19 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 2 |
| Moderate | 6 |
| Minor | 13 |
| Unk Severity | 1 |
| Unk If Injured | 6 |



RSA ERIE AVE 0040/1466 to 0040/1552



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 1466 and Segment 0040 Offset 1552) or (In Interest: County 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 1466 and Segment 0041 Offset 1552)

| MONTH OF YEA | R | | | | | | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| JA | N FEB | MAR | MAY | JUN | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 4 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 | CRASHES | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 19 |
| PCT 21 | % 5% | 10% | 10% | 15% | 10% | 5% | 10% | 10% | 100% | PCT | 15% | 15% | 15% | 5% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 100% |

| HOUR OF | DAY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|------|
| | 00 | 02 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | Ĩ |
| CRASHES | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| PCT | 10% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 21% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 10% | 5% | 5% | 100% |

| YEAR | Non-off Alter Colores and Altered | | COLLISION TYPE | | CRASH SEVERIT | Y LEVE | Ĺ | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|---------------|------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------|-----|--|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRA | ASHES | PCT | (| CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT | |
| 2004 | 8 | 42% | ANGLE | 6 | 31% | MAJOR | 2 | 10% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 15 | 36% | |
| 2005 | 6 | 31% | HEAD ON | 5 | 26% | MODERATE | 5 | 26% | MAJOR | 2 | UNKNOWN | 9 | 21% | |
| 2006 | 5 | 26% | SAME DIR SS | 4 | 21% | MINOR | 7 | 36% | MODERATE | 6 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 4 | 9% | |
| TOTAL | 19 | 100% | REAR END | 2 | 10% | LINK IF IN JURED | 2 | 10% | MINOR | 13 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 4 | 9% | |
| TOTAL | 0823 | 0.303.004 | | 1 | 5% | PDO | 3 | 15% | LINK SEVERITY | 1 | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 2 | 4% | |
| | | | | | 594 | FDO | 10 | 100% | | 6 | AFFECTED PHYSICAL COND | া | 2% | |
| | | | OPP DIR SS | | 570 | TOTAL | 19 | | UNK IF INJURED | | CARELESS PARKING/UNPARK | 1 | 2% | |
| | | | TOTAL | 19 | 100% | | | | | | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 1 | 2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 1 | 2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 1 | 2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | WRONG SIDE OF ROADWAY | 1 | 2% | |

| VEHICLE TYP | E | ROAD CONDITION | | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----------------|-------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|------|-------------------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | CRASHES | PCT | 10 m | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 27 | 69% | DRY | 11 | 57% | DAYLIGHT | 9 | 47% | CLEAR | 10 | 52% | NONE | 14 | 70% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 4 | 10% | WET | 8 | 42% | STREET LIGHTS | 8 | 42% | RAIN | 8 | 42% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 3 | 15% |
| VAN | 3 | 7% | TOTAL | 19 | 100% | DUSK | 1 | 5% | OTHER | 1 | 5% | UNKNOWN | 3 | 15% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 2 | 5% | | | 1.5 | LINK | 1 | 5% | ΤΟΤΑΙ | 19 | 100% | TOTAL | 20 | 100% |
| OTHER VEHICLE | 2 | 5% | | | | TOTAL | 19 | 100% | 101/12 | | | | | |
| SUV | 1 | 2% | | | | TOTAL | 119 | 100010 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 39 | 100% | | | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in lititation without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)



41 100%

TOTAL
Print Date: 3/10/2008:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310007 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 1466 and Segment 0040 Offset 1552) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 1466 and Segment 0041 Offset 1552) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:





6. SR 1004 Erie Avenue vicinity of Front Street Segment 40, Offset 2386 to Segment 50, Offset 307



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|--------------------|----|
| Angle | 7 |
| Rear-end | 7 |
| Pedestrian | 4 |
| Same Dir Sideswipe | 3 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 2 |
| Head-on | 1 |
| Total | 24 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 13 |
| Street Lights | 10 |
| Other | 1 |
| Total | 24 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 18 |
| Rain | 5 |
| Sleet | 1 |
| Total | 24 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 2 |
| Moderate | 9 |
| Minor | 16 |
| Unk Severity | 4 |
| Unk If Injured | 6 |
| | |



RSA ERIE AVE 0040/2386 TO 0050/0307



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 2386 and Segment 0050 Offset 307) or (In County Interest: 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 2386 and Segment 0051 Offset 307)

| MONTH OF YEA | R | | | | | | | | | | DAYOF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| FE | B MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | NOV | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 4 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 24 | CRASHES | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 24 |
| PCT 169 | 6 12% | 4% | 16% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 16% | 8% | 8% | 100% | PCT | 8% | 12% | 16% | 16% | 12% | 25% | 8% | 100% |

| HOUR OF | DAY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|------|
| | 00 | 01 | 02 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 23 | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 24 |
| PCT | 4% | 8% | 4% | 8% | 16% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 12% | 4% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | PE | | CRASH SEVERIT | Y LEVE | Ĺ | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------------|--------------|------|-------|---------------|---------|-------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRA | SHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 11 | 45% | ANGLE | 7 | 29% | MAJOR | 2 | 8% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 19 | 36% |
| 2005 | 6 | 25% | REAR END | 7 | 29% | MODERATE | 7 | 29% | MAJOR | 2 | UNKNOWN | 17 | 32% |
| 2006 | 7 | 29% | PEDESTRIAN | 4 | 16% | MINOR | 10 | 41% | MODERATE | 9 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 4 | 7% |
| TOTAL | 24 | 100% | SAME DIR SS | 3 | 12% | UNK SEVERITY | 2 | 8% | MINOR | 16 | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 2 | 3% |
| 10112 | | 0.95252045 | | 2 | 8% | | 1 | 4% | UNK SEVERITY | 4 | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 2 | 3% |
| | | | | - 1 | 4% | DOO | 2 | 8% | | 6 | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 2 | 3% |
| | | | HEADON | | 10004 | PDO | - | 10001 | ON IF INSORED | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 2 | 3% |
| | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | | | IMPROPER ENTRANCE HWY | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TAILGATING | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | WRONG SIDE OF ROADWAY | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 52 | 1003 |
|---|----------|------|--------|----------|------|---------------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|---------------------|---------|------|
| VEHICLE TYP | ΡE | | ROAD C | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTO | ORS | |
| All states and the second second second | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 2 | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PC |
| AUTOMOBILE | 37 | 80% | DRY | 19 | 79% | DAYLIGHT | 13 | 54% | CLEAR | 18 | 75% | NONE | 18 | 669 |
| SMALL TRUCK | 3 | 6% | WET | 5 | 20% | STREET LIGHTS | 10 | 41% | RAIN | 5 | 20% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 4 | 149 |
| SUV | 2 | 4% | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | OTHER | 1 | 4% | SLEET | 1 | 4% | UNKNOWN | 2 | 79 |
| VAN | 2 | 4% | | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | OTHER RDWY FACTOR | 1 | 39 |
| BUS | 1 | 2% | | | | TOTAL | | | TOTAL | | | OTHER WEATHER COND | 1 | 39 |
| LARGE TRUCK | 1 | 2% | | | | | | | | | | WINDY CONDITIONS | 1 | 39 |
| TOTAL | 46 | 100% | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 27 | 100 |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





Print Date: 3/10/2008:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310008 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0040 Offset 2386 and Segment 0050 Offset 307) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0041 Offset 2386 and Segment 0051 Offset 307) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT.





7. SR 1004 Erie Avenue at Intersection of B Street and Whitaker Avenue Segment 50, Offset 864 to Segment 50, Offset 1102



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|--------------------|----|
| Angle | 11 |
| Rear-end | 5 |
| Head-on | 4 |
| Same Dir Sideswipe | 3 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 2 |
| Opp Dir Sideswipe | 1 |
| Total | 26 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 18 |
| Street Lights | 7 |
| Dark | 1 |
| Total | 26 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 22 |
| Rain | 3 |
| Unknown | 1 |
| Total | 26 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 1 |
| Moderate | 6 |
| Minor | 21 |
| Unk Severity | 12 |
| Unk If Injured | 9 |



RSA ERIE AVE 0050/864 TO 0050/1102



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0050 Offset 864 and Segment 0050 Offset 1102) or (In County Interest: 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0051 Offset 864 and Segment 0051 Offset 1102)

| MONTH OF | /EAR | | | | | | | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | MAR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 26 | CRASHES | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 26 |
| PCT | 11% | 3% | 19% | 7% | 15% | 7% | 11% | 11% | 11% | 100% | PCT | 7% | 11% | 15% | 11% | 19% | 15% | 19% | 100% |

| HOUR OF | DAY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|------|
| A-1 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 06 | 07 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 99 | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 26 |
| PCT | 3% | 3% | 3% | 7% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 7% | 15% | 11% | 11% | 3% | 7% | 11% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | YPE | | CRASH SEVERI | TY LEVE | Ĺv | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|---------|-------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PC |
| 2004 | 10 | 38% | ANGLE | 11 | 42% | MAJOR | 1 | 3% | FATALITIES | 0 | UNKNOWN | 25 | 43% |
| 2005 | 7 | 26% | REAR END | 5 | 19% | MODERATE | 5 | 19% | MAJOR | 1 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 18 | 319 |
| 2006 | 9 | 34% | HEAD ON | 4 | 15% | MINOR | 10 | 38% | MODERATE | 6 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 3 | 5% |
| TOTAL | 26 | 100% | SAME DIR SS | 3 | 11% | UNK SEVERITY | 7 | 26% | MINOR | 21 | TAILGATING | 3 | 5% |
| 10112 | 1000 | 0.46354045 | HIT FIX OB I | 2 | 7% | PDO | 3 | 11% | UNK SEVERITY | 12 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 2 | 39 |
| | | | | 1 | 3% | TOTAL | 26 | 100% | | 9 | DRIVER INEXPERIENCED | 1 | 19 |
| | | | OPP DIR 35 | 26 | 100% | TOTAL | 20 | 10070 | ON THIS ONED | | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 1 | 1% |
| | | | TOTAL | 20 | 100% | | | | | | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 1 | 19 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | RUNNING STOP SIGN | 1 | 19 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 1 | 19 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | USING HAND-HELD PHONE | 1 | 19 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 57 | 100% |
| VEHICLE | E TYPE | | ROAD CONDI | TION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTO | RS | |

| VEHICLE ITF | | | ROAD CO | JNDITION | | ILLOWINA HON | | WEATHER | | | ENVIRIROADWATTAC | TORS | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------|---------|----------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|------|-------------------|---------|------|
| Contra and the Contra and the second | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | 19 S. | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 43 | 75% | DRY | 23 | 88% | DAYLIGHT | 18 69% | CLEAR | 22 | 84% | NONE | 21 | 80% |
| VAN | 5 | 8% | WET | 2 | 7% | STREET LIGHTS | 7 26% | RAIN | 3 | 11% | UNKNOWN | 4 | 15% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 3 | 5% | OTHER | 1 | 3% | DARK | 1 3% | UNK | 1 | 3% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 1 | 3% |
| MOTORCYCLE | 2 | 3% | TOTAL | 26 | 100% | TOTAL | 26 100% | TOTAL | 26 | 100% | TOTAL | 26 | 100% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 2 | 3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PEDALCYCLE | 2 | 3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 57 | 100% | | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT

57 100%

TOTAL

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in gueries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310010 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0050 Offset 864 and Segment 0050 Offset 1102) or (In County 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0051 Offset 864 and Segment 0051 Offset 1102) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:





8. SR 1004 Erie Avenue at I Street Segment 60, Offset 1074 to Segment 60, Offset 1252



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|------------------|----|
| Angle | 2 |
| Head-on | 2 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 2 |
| Rear-end | 1 |
| Total | 7 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 6 |
| Street Lights | 1 |
| Total | 7 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 5 |
| Rain | 2 |
| Total | 7 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 1 |
| Minor | 16 |
| Unk Severity | 3 |
| Unk If Injured | 1 |
| | |



RSA ERIE AVE 0060/1074 to 0060/1252



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0060 Offset 1074 and Segment 0060 Offset 1252) or (In Interest: County 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0061 Offset 1074 and Segment 0061 Offset 1252)

| MAR APR MAY SEP OCT NOV CRASHES 2 1 1 1 1 1 7 | | TUE | WED | CDI | | |
|--|---------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES 2 1 1 1 1 1 7 | | | VVED | FRI | SAT | |
| | CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| PCT 28% 14% 14% 14% 14% 100% | PCT | 14% | 28% | 42% | 14% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

VAN

TOTAL

PEDALCYCLE

| | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 21 | |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| PCT | 14% | 14% | 14% | 28% | 14% | 14% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION | TYPE | | CRASH SEVE | RITY LEVE | Ĺ | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------|-------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|-------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | c | RASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 7 | 100% | ANGLE | 2 | 28% | MODERATE | 1 | 14% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 5 | 26% |
| TOTAL | 7 | 100% | HEAD ON | 2 | 28% | MINOR | 5 | 71% | MAJOR | 0 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 2 | 10% |
| | | | HIT FIX OBJ | 2 | 28% | PDO | 1 | 14% | MODERATE | 1 | SPEEDING | 2 | 10% |
| | | | REAREND | 1 | 14% | TOTAL | 7 | 100% | MINOR | 16 | TURN FROM WRONG LANE | 2 | 10% |
| | | | TOTAL | 7 | 100% | TOTAL | 2.50 | 07000 | LINK SEVEDITY | 3 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 10% |
| | | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.70 | | | | UNK SEVERITY | 4 | IMPROPER EXIT FROM HWY | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | UNK IF INJURED | | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | SUDDEN SLOWING/STOP | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TAILGATING | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 19 | 100% |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | | ROAD CONDITION | | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | |
|--|----------|-----|----------------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| A state of the second sec | VEHICLES | PCT | (| CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 7 | 53% | DRY | 5 | 71% | DAYLIGHT | 6 85% | CLEAR | 5 71% | NONE | 7 | 77% |
| MOTORCYCLE | 1 | 7% | WET | 2 | 28% | STREET LIGHTS | 1 14% | RAIN | 2 28% | OTHER WEATHER COND | 1 | 11% |
| BUS | 1 | 7% | TOTAL | 7 | 100% | TOTAL | 7 100% | TOTAL | 7 100% | WINDY CONDITIONS | 1 | 11% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 1 | 7% | 1.9.17.19 | | | - 1.5.1.5 | | | | TOTAL | 9 | 100% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 1 | 7% | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in lititation without written permission from PennDOT.

1 7%

1 7%

13 100%

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

USER_ID/QUERY ID: Ikubli/ 0620080310012

Print Date: 3/10/2008:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310012 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 1004(P) Between Segment 0060 Offset 1074 and Segment 0060 Offset 1252) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 1004(S) Between Segment 0061 Offset 1074 and Segment 0061 Offset 1252) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:





APPENDIX D Photo Log – Erie Avenue

SIGNS

Damaged/vandalized street sign along Erie Avenue



Faded street sign along Erie Avenue



Sign from former Erie Avenue trolley



Example of vandalism to street sign



SIDEWALKS

Bollards on sidewalk near 9th Street



Sidewalk bollards in vicinity of Randolph Street



Sidewalk disrepair along Erie Avenue



SIDEWALKS

Deteriorated and obstructed sidewalk along Erie Avenue



Example of neglect, litter near Germantown Avenue



Tripping hazard along Erie Avenue



PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

Deteriorated pedestrian crossing near Germantown Avenue



Example of sidewalk disrepair along Erie Avenue, corridorwide issue



Former trolley right-of-way obstructs pedestrian crossing over Erie Avenue near Rising Sun Avenue, corridorwide issue.



Former trolley tracks create tripping hazard



TRANSIT

Former trolley boarding area, now used for bus boarding



SEPTA Bus utilizing former trolley right-of-way



Bus stop near hospital east of Front Street



Example of unauthorized parking in bus pull-off area, corridorwide issue



PARKING

Unauthorized parking on sidewalk along Erie Avenue



Illegal on-street and sidewalk parking



Sidewalk obstructed by unauthorized parking along Erie Avenue



BROAD STREET

Bus riders waiting along Erie Avenue at Germantown Avenue



Missing crosswalk over Erie Avenue at Broad Street



Dangerous mix of traffic and pedestrians at Broad and Erie



Complicated intersection at Rising Sun Avenue and 7th Street



Example of deteriorated infrastructure along Erie Avenue



Raised former trolley right-of-way near Whitaker Avenue



Example of inconsistent center-lane surface treatment, corridorwide issue



Erie Avenue at Rising Sun Road



Example of inconsistent center-lane surface treatment at Whitaker Avenue



Example of hazardous former trolley right-of-way



Erie at 2nd Street



Need for access management along Erie Avenue



Erie at Sedgley Avenue (time restricted left turn sign)



Erie at Front Street intersection



Confusing traffic pattern at 2nd Street and Sedgley Avenue



NO TURN ON RED 6 AM - 6 Ph T- T 圖 **ETERNO**

RSA team members examine conditions at 6th Street and Erie Avenue

APPENDIX E Response Sheet – Erie Avenue

SR 1004 Erie Avenue Road Safety Audit

RSA Response Sheet

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Sidewalks | | | | |
| Sidewalks are in poor condition (cracked, missing, etc) maintenance needed, i.e., trash, debris | Reconstruct and rehabilitate sidewalks for the safe travel of pedestrians. Coordinate with City of Philadelphia Department of Public Works, neighborhood associations and residence to perform needed maintenance and cleaning on a regular basis | | | |
| Bollards on the sidewalk obstruct pedestrian way and create a hit fixed object crash hazard for vehicles | Bollards are typically not illegal, and are used to prevent vehicles from parking on sidewalks. Coordinate with the Philadelphia Streets Dept. to develop another method to prevent sidewalk parking which doesn't obstruct the pedestrian way or create a potential hazard for motorists | | | |
| Pedestrian Crossings | | | | |
| Crosswalk pavement markings are faded or | Re-stripe and add pavement markings where missing in | | | |

| | Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | missing Trolley tracks in crosswalks create tripping hazard Intersection corners and curbs are deteriorated; drainage problems as evidenced by water pooling at the curbs Pedestrian ramps are inadequate and pat ADA | continental style striping and make consistent throughout corridor. Enhance continental style crossing with a backdrop over trolley tracks (use highest/best lighted crosswalk if possible), conduct inventory and add as needed. Re-grade pavement to eliminate tripping hazard Re-construct intersection corners and curbs Upgrade ramps with truncated damag and make ADA | | | |
| • | inadequate and not ADA compliant Pedestrian signal heads do not have necessary indication | domes and make ADA compliant Upgrade pedestrian signal heads with man/hand indicators and/or count-down timers | | | |
| • | <i>igns</i> Evidence of graffiti and other damage to signs along corridor | Conduct an inventory of street name sign and address as appropriate (posts, correct proximity to intersection, | | | |
| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Street name signs posted too far back from the intersection | graffiti, legibility) Correct the placement of street signs in accordance with PennDOT regulations and/or MUTCD | | | |
| Abandoned SEPTA Trolley Tracks and Concrete ROW | Long Term | | | |
| Excess / unusable capacity due to trolley ROW Former trolley infrastructure is used in a seemingly unregulated manner by SEPTA's buses which presents safety issues At grade trolley ROW serves as center turn lane, but is poorly marked and somewhat confusing Road surface changes without any notice and is in poor condition in some locations | Remove the tracks and concrete ROW Implement a "complete streets" improvement including a two-way left turn lane and bike lanes from capacity gained by removing infrastructure NOTE: SEPTA's official position is to re-instate the #56 trolley which would preclude removing the tracks and infrastructure Medium Term Cover/fill tracks with a rubber cap to make crossing safer for cyclists and disabled users Remove outdated and unused concrete poles, and wires | | | |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | Short Term: Prohibit buses from using the former trolley ROW (between Broad St and 12th St) as a dedicated bus lane due to the inherent safety issues resulting from merging between the Trolley ROW and the vehicle travel lanes | | | |
| <i>Parking</i> Vehicles parked too close to the intersection Vehicles parked in the bus pull-off areas Parking on sidewalks | Consider constructing bulb- outs on the corners where existing bus pull-offs are located Install "No Parking" signs at bus stop locations Develop corridor-wide strategy to prevent parking on sidewalks, possible solutions: -increased coordinated enforcement -new parking areas created by road geometry changes | | | |
| Speeding Buses and vehicles speeding along corridor | Coordinated enforcement between City of Philadelphia Police Department and SEPTA | | | |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Signals Pole mounted signals are outdated and difficult for motorists to see because they are located off to the side of roadway out of the cone of vision. (approximately 60% of all crashes in the last 5 years are signal related according to the data) | Install signals on mast arms as appropriate | | | |
| Bicycling | | | | |
| No bike lanes for bicyclists | Add bike lanes, consider upgraded bike lane that includes a rumble strip edge line creating a potentially safer bicycling accommodation NOTE: special application requires BHSTE design exception | | | |
| No "Share The Road" signsLack of bicycle parking | Install "Share the Road" warning signs as appropriate to raise bicyclists' profile Add bicycle parking where | | | |
| | appropriate | | | |

| Corridor-wide Safety Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Left Turn Accommodation Need for left turn accommodation | Establish former trolley ROW as a formal left turn lane where possible with upgraded striping and signage | | | |
| Blocked Drainage Grates Evidence of trash obstructing drainage crates | Coordinate with the Philadelphia Public Works Dept. to remove trash and debris on a regular basis | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Broad Street and Germantown | | | | |
| Ave | | | | |
| Pedestrian crossing over Erie Ave on eastside of Broad Street is very long and undefined, lane striping faded/ missing | Stripe crosswalk and create a pedestrian refuge over Erie Ave for pedestrians, add pavement marking to assist in guiding motorists and informing pedestrians | | | |
| High pedestrian volumes, and movements are somewhat erratic; missing pedestrian signal heads; signs missing / damaged | Implement a "pedestrian scramble" signal phase and intersection treatments (pedestrian signal heads with countdown timers) | | | |
| Reported red light running | Install red light running cameras to further compliance | | | |
| Trolley tracks present hazard for bikers, and for the disabled | Remove trolley tracks | | | |
| Undefined / inconsistent parking; parked vehicles compromise sight distance | Enforce parking restrictions through increased police presence | | | |
| Between Elder Street and 13 th | | | | |
| Street | | | | |
| Sidewalk depression gathering trash/water Trolley island flashing vellow | Address drainage problem and repair sidewalk Repair flashing signal | | | |

| Si | te-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|-----|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | signals not working | | | | |
| Ol | d York Rd | | | | |
| • | Short signal pole located in clear zone presents an HFO hazard | Relocate/remove signal pole | | | |
| Ма | arvine St | | | | |
| • | Missing sidewalk section | Replace missing sidewalk section | | | |
| Ge | ermantown Ave to N Delhi St. | | | | |
| • | Transit boarding area located in the center of the roadway is poorly maintained and has a low profile making it an HFO hazard | Improve and raise visibility of center boarding zone, add new color (bring to standard), add reflective markings | | | |
| • | Center transit boarding stop is difficult to access for the disabled Transit buses weave between former trolley ROW and travel lanes | Improve access to center boarding zone (make ADA compliant) Prohibit buses from using the former trolley ROW due to safety implications of merging on and off the raised concrete | | | |
| Vie | cinity of bridge (near | | | | |
| Co | ousin's supermarket) | | | | |
| • | by buses on/off the raised | Pronibit buses from using the trolley ROW due to safety | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| trolley ROW | implications of merging on and off the raised concrete. | | | |
| 10th St. Signal may not be warranted Grading inconsistencies present problems for pedestrians; facility not in compliance with ADA regulations Trolley tracks turn onto 10th St from Erie Ave and create a hazard for bicyclists Signal heads turned askew | Verify signal warrant analysis via study Remove tracks, re-grade, make safer for pedestrians Remove tracks, make safer/more accessibe for bicyclists Re-orient the signal head to face on-coming traffic. NOTE: Philadelphia Streets Department representatives notified Maintenance of the issue during the field visit. | | | |
| Delhi St. | | | | |
| Stop sign on the NW corner is turned away from southbound traffic on Delhi St Curb ramps are not ADA compliant | Orient stop sign for southbound motorist Install ADA compliant curb ramps | | | |

| Si | te-Specific Safety Issues | | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|-----------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Pe | ercy, 9 th St. | | | | | |
| • | Curb ramps are too steep and water is pooled at the base | • | Repair or replace curb ramps making them ADA compliant and address drainage issues | | | |
| • | Bollards obstruct pedestrian way | • | Since bollards are allowed, develop corridor-wide strategy to prevent parking on sidewalks, i.e.: increased enforcement | | | |
| • | Signal at southeast corner of 9 th misaligned | • | Re-align signal head | | | |
| • | Heavy pedestrian traffic associated with the C bus transfers at 9 th St combined with heavy traffic volume is potentially hazardous | • | Make transit stop more prominent, add necessary amenities | | | |
| 8 th | ' St | | | | | |
| • | Drainage issues at curb ramp on the NW corner | • | Repair or replace with ADA compliant curb ramps and address drainage issues | | | |
| • | No amenities for transit passengers (shelters, benches, etc.) | • | Coordinate with SEPTA, the City of Philadelphia and appropriate neighborhood association for the provision of necessary amenities | | | |

| S | ite-Specific Safety Issues | | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|----|--|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Fr | anklin St | | | | | |
| • | Street sign is covered with graffiti | • | Remove graffiti or replace sign | | | |
| Ri | ising Sun Avenue/7 th St | | | | | |
| • | Complicated signal timing (for traffic and pedestrians), too many signals | • | Evaluate need for a signal upgrade | | | |
| • | Crosswalks are too long over Rising Sun Ave, crosswalk striping is inconsistent | • | Reorient the crosswalks, install bulb-outs; evaluate the appropriateness of a pedestrian scramble to ease crossings and reduce crossing times and delay | | | |
| • | Cobblestone center lane is functioning as a two way LT lane, but is not signed or striped appropriately | • | Better establish the center lane as a turn lane through lane striping and signs | | | |
| • | Missing pedestrian crossing over 7 th St | • | Provide pedestrian crossing over 7th St | | | |
| • | Vehicles parked near intersection compromises sight distance | • | Limit parking at intersection to improve visibility, enforce no parking areas | | | |
| • | No amenities for transit passengers (shelters, benches, etc.) | • | Coordinate with SEPTA, the City of Philadelphia, and appropriate neighborhood association to provide necessary transit amenities | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision | Planned | Comments |
|---|---|--------------|------------|----------|
| | | Agree/Reject | Completion | |
| | | | Date | |
| 6th St Post mounted flashing school zone signs are not highly visible 6th St westbound school | Install school flashing signals on mast arms Replace school crossing sign | | | |
| crossing sign is faded | | | | |
| Bayard Taylor School (between Randolph St and 6th St) Children cross 6th St to a church/school facility Need for consistent school zone signing | Increase pedestrian crossing amenities Make school zone amenities/signs consistent with other school zones in the corridor | | | |
| 5th St Cars are pulling up past stop bar into the crosswalks | Add "Stop Here on Red" signs; add more space between the crosswalk and stop bar | | | |
| Bridge between Lawrence and | | | | |
| 3 ^{ra} St | | | | |
| Steel plates on the bridge are potential hazard (for bicyclists) Guide rail approaching the | Remove steel plates (bike hazard) and rehab as appropriate Add guide rail delineation; | | | |
| bridge needs upgrade, | upgrade end treatment and | | | |

| S | ite-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|------------------|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | presents a HFO hazard because it has no transition and/or end treatment and is doubled paneled | transitions <u>Additional recommendation:</u> Evaluate the need for bridge weight restriction | | | |
| 3' • | ^d St Used car lot obstructing the sidewalk with parked cars | Enforce no parking on sidewalk | | | |
| 2 ⁿ • | Street and Sedgley Ave Time sensitive left turn restrictions at the intersection create confusion for the motorists These two intersections in close proximity create potentially unsafe conditions especially for 2nd St, left turns from northbound Sedgley, and for westbound Erie Ave left turns to Sedgley | Consider re-routing NB Sedgley Ave. traffic enroute to Erie Ave. onto 3rd St or 5th St where they can access via a signalized intersection; analyze potential neighborhood impacts Consider signalizing Sedgley Ave and adding to the 2nd St signal plan; upgrade overall signalization | | | |
| • | Left turn accommodation for westbound Erie Ave to southbound Sedgley Ave | Add LT lane on westbound Erie Ave for turns to southbound Sedgley Ave | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Faded or missing lane striping; motorists are pulling up past stop bar into the crosswalks Cars illegally parked on sidewalk Curb cuts/ramps are offset from 2nd St | <u>Additional recommendation:</u> Consider making 2nd St 1-way South, from Erie to improve LOS on the Sedgley Ave signal plan idea Restripe pavement markings and add more space between the stop lines and crosswalk; install "Stop Here on Red" signs Enforce no parking on sidewalks Realign curb ramps | | Duit | |
| Roberto Clemente School (between 2nd and Front) Inconsistent school zone signing Missing pedestrian signal Sidewalk pavement is missing along sidewalk opposite of school No curb ramps at the school midblock crossing | Install consistent school zone sign Add pedestrian signal during the intersection improvement Replace sidewalk Install ADA compliant curb ramps at the midblock crossing | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Front St | | | | |
| Missing pedestrian signals | Add man/hand pedestrian signals with countdown timers | | | |
| Confusing and potentially hazardous pedestrian crossing | Improve pedestrian crossing with continental striping | | | |
| Yield sign (located near the bus stop) on north side should be reoriented towards Erie Ave | Install yield saw tooth pavement markings; possibly relocate yield sign | | | |
| Cars are parked on the sidewalk on the northwest corner forcing pedestrians to walk in the roadway and obstruct the view of the crosswalk | Prohibit/enforce no parking on northwest corner, widen sidewalks on northwest corner to better accommodate pedestrians | | | |
| Number 56 bus (WB) stop is at the channelized island on the northeast corner which present difficulty for school children to cross the roadway and proceed northbound on Eront St | Relocate westbound #56 bus stop to a safer location Long Term Consider roundabout Consider intersection redesign Reconfigure the channelized right | | | |
| | - evaluate the need and possibly remove if not warranted | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| A Street In trolley track area, 4 to 5 inch dip (tripping hazard) similar to I St intersection Bus shelter obstructs sidewalk Erie is very wide-therefore promoting higher speeds | Cover/fill tracks with a rubber cap (or other material) to make crossing safer for bikes and ADA compliance Consider relocating bus shelter, or widening sidewalk Consider traffic calming measures, i.e., narrow the lanes through striping | | | |
| <i>B / Whitaker St. to I St.</i> Missing speed limit signs | Install speed limit signs | | | |
| B St/ Whitaker St Trolley island creates confusion On the southeast corner there is a gas station with uncontrolled access Left turn from Erie Ave to Whitaker St not clearly marked Southbound Whitaker St right turn onto Erie Ave westbound and northbound right turn | Remove trolley island, or use reflective markings to make more visible, prominent Implement access management for gas station Re-establish the center turn lane with lane striping and signs Enforce no parking zone | | | |

| Site-Specific Safety Issues | Potential Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| onto Whitaker St from Erie Ave-vehicles observed using shoulder for parking High number of angle crashes Missing cross walk over B St Missing yield pavement markings on channelized right turn | Evaluate effects of increasing the all red signal phase to clear intersection to address angle crashes Replace missing cross walk striping Install saw tooth yield markings on channelized right turn NOTE: Philadelphia Streets Department representatives stated this will be addressed | | | |
| 1 St interception | under pending contract | | | |
| Unused SEPTA pole creates tripping hazard Missing lane designation striping Pedestrian crosswalks are lacking Tracks and bridge upover | Remove unused SEPTA pole Upgrade lane striping; add formalized left turn lane for all four approaches Add continental style pedestrian crosswalk striping Permove tracks | | | |
| through intersection | Remove trolley tracks | | | |

APPENDIX F PennDOT Scope of Work – Erie Avenue

District 6-0 Safety Plan Section 148 (HSIP) Planned Safety Projects



Project Purpose:

The purpose of this project is to reduce the number of crashes and related injuries and severity of the crashes which occur along the approximate 2.5 mile section of Erie Avenue, between Broad Street and K Street, in the City of Philadelphia. The anticipated benefits of this project are:

- Minimization of the number of vehicle/pedestrian crashes.
- Minimization of the number of vehicular only crashes, specifically angle and rear-end type crashes.

Project Scope:

The scope of work for this project was developed from the Road Safety Audit which was conducted Transportation. A more detailed description of the scope of work is included in the attached cost in April 2008 and undertaken by DVRPC in conjunction with the Pennsylvania Department of estimate, and is summarized below:

| District 6-0 Safety Plan Section 148 (HSIP) Planned Safety Projects |
|---|
| Conduct traffic signal warrant analyses at select intersections to determine the appropriateness (warrants met) of the existing traffic signals and alternative traffic controls where appropriate. Install overhead mast-arm traffic signals consistently throughout the corridor. Install pedestrian signals and other pedestrian amenities (crosswalks, signing, etc) throughout the corridor. Replace sidewalks and curbing within the corridor. Renove trolley tracks and pave entire roadway. |
| Restript the roadway. Improve drainage problems along the corridor. Total Cost ~ \$25.6 million |
| Alternate Scope "A" This alternate assumes that the SEPTA trolley tracks and track base remains in place and the described improvements are implemented (left turn lanes, stop bars, crosswalks, etc.) on top of the existing track area, much like the trolley area is treated on Erie Avenue/Torresdale Avenue north of the study area. Total Cost ~ \$17.0 million |
| Alternate Scope "B" |
| This alternate assumes that all of the SEPTA trolley hardware (tracks, track base, overhead wires, poles, rider platforms, etc.) remains in place and the remaining improvements are implemented as possible given the trolley infrastructure. Total Cost ~ \$6.5 million |
| Benefit-to-Cost Ratio Calculation |
| The estimated benefit, in terms of crash reductions, for this project is \$3.75 million per year. See attached sheet Titled "Erie Avenue HSIP Benefit Calculations". |
| The estimated cost for the above scope of work is \$25.6 million. See the attached "Cost Estimate Sheet" (three pages). Assuming a 20-year life cycle for this safety project, the annual cost of the project is \$1.28 million. |
| The project will have an annual benefit-to-cost ratio of \$3,750,000:\$1,280,000 or 2.9 to 1. |
| Alternate Scope A The project will have an annual benefit-to-cost ratio of \$1,888,000: \$850,000 or 2.2 to 1. |
| Alternate Scope B The project will have an annual benefit-to-cost ratio of \$750,000: \$325,000 or 2.3 to 1. |
| |

This traffic and engineering study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C.§409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

| | Projects |
|-----------|-----------|
| | ed Safety |
| y Plan | P) Plann |
| 6-0 Safet | 148 (HSI |
| District | Section |

ERIE AVENUE HSIP BENEFIT CALCULATIONS

Crashes: 2003 through 2007

| Crash Type | # of Crashes | | Average Cost per Crash ¹ | | Total Costs |
|------------|--------------|---|--|-------|-------------------------------|
| Angle | 103 | X | \$ 76,000 | II | \$ 7,828,000 |
| Rear End | 70 | X | \$ 39,400 | 11 | \$ 2,758,000 |
| Pedestrian | 52 | X | \$214,700 | 11 | \$11,164,400 |
| Other | 103 | X | \$ 39,700 | 11 | \$ 4,089,100 |
| Total | 328 | | Total 5 Year Cost Average Annual Cost | 11 11 | \$25,839,500 \$5.2 million |

1 From CDART: Accident Cost by Category Report for Accidents in Years 2003 to 2007.

According to the CDART data, the crash rate for the study corridor ranged from 1.35 to 6.60 times higher than PennDOT's homogeneous five-year rate for the same time period. The average crash rate is $(1.35 + 2.21 + 2.94 + 4.81 + 6.60) = 17.91 \div 5 = 3.58$.

improvement period will be 1 ÷ 3.58 or 28 percent of the current rate. This translates into a postconsistent with statewide averages for similar corridors, then the expected crash rate for the postcorridors with similar characteristics during the 2003 through 2007 period. If it is assumed that The corridor experienced an average crash rate that was approximately 3.58 times higher than improvement annual cost of \$1.45 million. The expected benefit will be \$5.2 million - \$1.45 the planned safety improvements will produce a crash rate (results in a reduction) that is million or \$3.75 million per year.

Alternate Scope A

Alternate A is estimated to cost \$17.0 million or \$850,000 per year assuming a 20 year life cycle. The expected benefit is estimated to be 50% of the Total Scope, or \$1.88 million per year.

Alternate Scope B

Alternate B is estimated to cost \$6.5 million or \$325,000 per year assuming a 20 year life cycle. The expected benefit is estimated to be 20% of the Total Scope, or \$750,000 thousand per year.

| COSI ESHMALE: | | | | Order of Magnitude |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Intersection / Location | Proposed Work | Construction | Engineering cost | Estimate |
| Broad Street/Germantown Avenue | Install new signal with mast arms | \$100,000 | \$15,000 | \$115,000 |
| Old York Road | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North 10th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North 9th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| Rising Sun Avenue/North 7th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$102,000 | \$15,300 | \$117,300 |
| North 6th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| Randolph Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North 5th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North 3rd Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$55,000 | \$8,250 | \$63,250 |
| North 2nd Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North Front Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| A Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$55,000 | \$8,250 | \$63,250 |
| B Street/Whitaker Avenue | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| D Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| G Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |

This traffic and engineering study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C.§409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

Page 4 of 5.

District 6-0 Safety Plan Section 148 (HSIP) Planned Safety Projects

| | ojects |
|-------|--------|
| | y Pro |
| | Safet |
| | ned |
| Plan |) Plai |
| afety | ISE |
| S 0-9 | 148 (1 |
| trict | tion] |
| Dist | Sec |

| | \$10,500 \$80,500 | 867.269 \$21.982.394 | 040,069 \$23,307,194 | 304,007 \$2,330,720 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| | \$70,000 | \$19,115,125 \$2.6 | \$20,267,125 \$3,0 | \$2,026,713 \$3 |
| riannea Salety Frojects | Install new signal with mast arms | Remove trolley tracks, repave the roadway, install new curbing and sidewalk, restripe roadway, install new drainage (Lump Sum) | Subtotal | Contingency (10%) |
| Section 148 (HSH) | I Street | Corridor Wide | | |

\$25,637,914

Total

APPENDIX G Maps – Olney Avenue





APPENDIX H Traffic Data – Olney Avenue

rsa olney ave corridor summary

| <u>Date Ranc</u> <u>Area</u> Intere | <u>1e:</u> 1/1/ of (In (st: | 2004 to 1 County 67 | 2/31/2000 7 On State | 6 e Route 4 | 1004(P) B | etween S | egment (| 0050 Offs | et 1387 a | and Segr | nent 0100 | Offset 25 | 40) | | | | | <u>L</u> Ik | JSER_ID/ ubli/ <u>0620</u> | QUERY 0803100 | D: 13 PE | NDOT |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----|-----|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------|
| MONTH OF | YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | | | | |
| | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | _ |
| CRASHES | 8 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 132 | CRASHES | 11 | 17 | 30 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 19 | 132 |
| PCT | 6% | 12% | 5% | 6% | 9% | 9% | 10% | 6% | 9% | 5% | 9% | 9% | 100% | PCT | 8% | 12% | 22% | 9% | 13% | 18% | 14% | 100% |
| HOUR OF D | AY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| - | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 99 | 2 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| CRASHES | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 132 |
| PCT | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 3% | 8% | 4% | 2% | 6% | 6% | 5% | 6% | 9% | 5% | 4% | 6% | 3% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 1% | 5% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | /PE | | CRASH SEVERIT | Y LEVE | Ĺ. | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|------------|--------------|-------|------|----------------|---------|------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | (| CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 43 | 32% | ANGLE | 40 | 30% | FATAL | 2 | 1% | FATALITIES | 2 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 141 | 42% |
| 2005 | 40 | 30% | PEDESTRIAN | 35 | 26% | MAJOR | 6 | 4% | MAJOR | 6 | UNKNOWN | 43 | 13% |
| 2006 | 49 | 37% | REAR END | 32 | 24% | MODERATE | 15 | 11% | MODERATE | 16 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 34 | 10% |
| TOTAL | 132 | 100% | HEAD ON | 10 | 7% | MINOR | 72 | 54% | MINOR | 115 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 20 | 6% |
| 10112 | | 0.90300010 | SAME DIR SS | 7 | 5% | LINK SEVERITY | 34 | 25% | UNK SEVERITY | 75 | PROCEED W/O CLEARANCE | 14 | 4% |
| | | | OPD DID SS | 5 | 3% | | 1 | 0% | | 31 | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 12 | 3% |
| | | | OPP DIR 35 | 2 | 104 | UNK IF INJUKED | 2 | 10/ | | | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 11 | 3% |
| | | | HIT FIX OBJ | 2 | 1.70 | PDO | 2 | 170 | | | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 7 | 2% |
| | | | BACKING | 1 | 0% | TOTAL | 132 | 100% | | | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 5 | 1% |
| | | | TOTAL | 132 | 100% | 1. | | | | | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 5 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | RUNNING STOP SIGN | 5 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | SPEEDING | 5 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | OTHERS | 26 | 7% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | ROAD CO | NDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | |
|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 75 | CRASHES | PCT | 8 | FACTORS |
| AUTOMOBILE | 206 | 79% | DRY | 110 | 83% | DAYLIGHT | 98 | 74% | CLEAR | 112 | 84% | NONE | 116 |
| VAN | 13 | 5% | WET | 19 | 14% | STREET LIGHTS | 30 | 22% | RAIN | 16 | 12% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 6 |
| SMALL TRUCK | 11 | 4% | ICE | 2 | 1% | DARK | 2 | 1% | OTHER | 2 | 1% | UNKNOWN | 5 |
| SUV | 11 | 4% | ICE PATCH | 1 | 0% | DAWN | 1 | 0% | SNOW | 2 | 1% | ANIMAL IN RDWY | 3 |
| BUS | 10 | 3% | TOTAL | 132 | 100% | LINKLICITING | 1 | 0% | TOTAL | 132 | 100% | GLARE | 3 |
| MOTORCYCI E | 3 | 1% | TOTAL | 102 | 10070 | UNKLIGHTING | 100 | 4000/ | TOTAL | 102 | 10070 | OTHER WEATHER COND | 3 |
| LARGE TRUCK | 2 | 0% | | | | TOTAL | 132 | 100% | | | | OTHER RDWY FACTOR | 1 |
| PEDALCYCLE | 2 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | SUDDEN WEATHER COND | 1 |
| | 1 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | TCD OBSTRUCTED | 1 |
| OTTICIS VEHICLE | | | | | | | | | | | | | 100 |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT

259 100%

TOTAL

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)



328 100%

PCT 83%

4%

3%

2%

2%

2%

0%

0%

0%

139 100%

TOTAL

TOTAL

Print Date: 3/10/2008:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310013 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| User ID: Area of Interest: | lkubli (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0050 Offset 1387 and Segment 0100 Offset 2540) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT.

Criteria:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|-------------------|----|
| Rear-end | 13 |
| Pedestrian | 11 |
| Angle | 4 |
| Opp Dir Sideswipe | 1 |
| Total | 29 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 23 |
| Street Lights | 6 |
| Total | 29 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 27 |
| Rain | 2 |
| Total | 29 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 1 |
| Major | 1 |
| Moderate | 6 |
| Minor | 28 |
| Unk Severity | 8 |
| Unk If Injured | 7 |
| | |





Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0050 Offset 1387 and Segment 0080 Offset 175) or (In County Interest: 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0051 Offset 1387 and Segment 0081 Offset 175)

| MONTH OF YE | AR | | | | | | | | | | | DAY | OF WEEK | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | JAN | FEB | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 24 | CRAS | IES 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 24 |
| PCT | 4% | 8% | 8% | 12% | 20% | 4% | 12% | 12% | 8% | 8% | 100% | | РСТ 4% | 20% | 12% | 12% | 12% | 16% | 20% | 100% |

| | | OF. | DAV |
|-----|-----|----------|-----|
| HU. | UR | | |
| | ••• | . | |

VAN

TOTAL

PEDALCYCLE

OTHER VEHICLE

| | 02 | 08 | 09 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 99 | |
|---------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 24 |
| PCT | 4% | 4% | 8% | 4% | 25% | 4% | 8% | 16% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | YPE | | CRASH SEVER | ITY LEVE | Ĺ | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------|------|---------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 9 | 37% | REAR END | 11 | 45% | FATAL | 1 | 4% | FATALITIES | 1 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 28 | 45% |
| 2005 | 7 | 29% | PEDESTRIAN | 9 | 37% | MAJOR | 1 | 4% | MAJOR | 1 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 9 | 14% |
| 2006 | 8 | 33% | ANGLE | 3 | 12% | MODERATE | 5 | 20% | MODERATE | 5 | UNKNOWN | 8 | 13% |
| TOTAL | 24 | 100% | OPP DIR SS | 1 | 4% | MINOR | 15 | 62% | MINOR | 25 | CARELESS/ILLEGAL BACKING | 2 | 3% |
| 10112 | | 0.9352015 | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | LINK SEVERITY | 2 | 8% | UNK SEVERITY | 7 | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 2 | 3% |
| | | | TOTAL | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | | 6 | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 2 | 3% |
| | | | | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100 /0 | UNK IF INJUKED | | PROCEED W/O CLEARANCE | 2 | 3% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | FAILURE USE SPCL EQUIP | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 1 | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | OTHERS | 3 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 61 | 100% |

| VEHICLE TY | EHICLE TYPE | | ROAD C | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | |
|-------------|-------------|-----|--------|----------|------|---------------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 3 | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 2 | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 26 | 56% | DRY | 23 | 95% | DAYLIGHT | 19 | 79% | CLEAR | 23 | 95% | NONE | 22 | 91% |
| BUS | 8 | 17% | WET | 1 | 4% | STREET LIGHTS | 5 | 20% | RAIN | 1 | 4% | ANIMAL IN RDWY | 1 | 4% |
| SUV | 5 | 10% | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | ΤΟΤΑΙ | 24 | 100% | OTHER WEATHER COND | 1 | 4% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 3 | 6% | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100% |
| MOTORCYCLE | 1 | 2% | | | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT

2%

1 1 2%

1 2%

46 100%

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in gueries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310016 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | lkubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0050 Offset 1387 and Segment 0080 Offset 175) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0051 Offset 1387 and Segment 0081 Offset 175) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:

rsa olney ave 80 0301 to 80/0322



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0080 Offset 301 and Segment 0080 Offset 322) or (In County Interest: 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0081 Offset 301 and Segment 0081 Offset 322)

| MONTH OF YEAR | | | | DAY OF | WEEK | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----|-----|--------|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| AP | R MAY | JUN | AUG | SEP | | | MON | TUE | THR | FRI | |
| CRASHES | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | CRASHES | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| PCT 20 | % 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 100% | PCT | 40% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 07 | 09 | 16 | 21 | Ĩ |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| PCT | 20% | 20% | 40% | 20% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | | CRASH SEVERIT | CRASH SEVERITY LEVEL | | | r i | DRIVER ACTIONS | | | |
|--------|---------|------|--------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|---------|------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRA | ASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 2 | 40% | PEDESTRIAN | 2 | 40% | MODERATE | 1 | 20% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 6 | 50% |
| 2005 | 3 | 60% | REAR END | 2 | 40% | MINOR | 3 | 60% | MAJOR | 0 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 16% |
| TOTAL | 5 | 100% | ANGLE | 1 | 20% | UNK SEVERITY | 1 | 20% | MODERATE | 1 | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 1 | 8% |
| 101112 | | | TOTAL | 5 | 100% | TOTAL | 5 | 100% | MINOR | 3 | MAKING ILLEGAL U-TURN | 1 | 8% |
| | | | TOTAL | Ŷ | 10070 | TOTAL | | | | 1 | TAILGATING | 1 | 8% |
| | | | | | | | | | UNK SEVERITY | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 8% |
| | | | | | | | | | UNK IF INJURED | 1 | TOTAL | 10 | 100% |

| VEHICLE TYPE | | | ROAD CONDITION | | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | |
|--------------|----------|-----|----------------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 5 | 62% | DRY | 4 | 80% | DAYLIGHT | 4 80% | CLEAR | 4 | 80% | NONE | 5 | 100% |
| BUS | 1 | 12% | WET | 1 | 20% | STREET LIGHTS | 1 20% | RAIN | 1 | 20% | TOTAL | 5 | 100% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 1 | 12% | TOTAL | 5 | 100% | TOTAL | 5 100% | TOTAL | 5 | 100% | | | |
| VAN | 1 | 12% | - | | | · · | | | | | | | |

VAN 8 100% TOTAL

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

Print Date: 3/10/2008:



12 100%

TOTAL
CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310017 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | lkubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0080 Offset 301 and Segment 0080 Offset 322) or (In County 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0081 Offset 301 and Segment 0081 Offset 322) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





2. SR 4004 Olney Avenue at 11th Street Segment 80, Offset 1521 to Segment 80, Offset 1525



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|----------------|---|
| Angle | 5 |
| Pedestrian | 2 |
| Backing | 1 |
| Total | 8 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 6 |
| Street Lights | 2 |
| Total | 8 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 7 |
| Rain | 1 |
| Total | 8 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| Minor | 8 |
| Unk Severity | 3 |
| Unk If Injured | 1 |
| | |



rsa olney ave 80/1521 to 80/1525



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0080 Offset 1521 and Segment 0080 Offset 1525) or (In Interest: County 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0081 Offset 1521 and Segment 0081 Offset 1525)

| MONTH OF YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| JA | N FEB | MAY | JUL | NOV | | | MON | TUE | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 1 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | |
| PCT 12 | % 37% | 12% | 25% | 12% | 100% | PCT | 12% | 25% | 25% | 12% | 25% | 10 |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 08 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 00 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| PCT | 12% | 12% | 37% | 12% | 12% | 12% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYPE | | CRASH SEVER | RITY LEVEL | SEVERITY COUNT | r i | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|--------|---------|------|----------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 5 | 62% | ANGLE 5 | 5 62% | MODERATE | 2 25% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 8 | 47% |
| 2005 | 3 | 37% | PEDESTRIAN 2 | 2 25% | MINOR | 5 62% | MAJOR | 0 | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 3 | 17% |
| TOTAL | 8 | 100% | BACKING 1 | 12% | PDO | 1 12% | MODERATE | 2 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 2 | 11% |
| 101112 | | | | 3 100% | TOTAL | 8 100% | MINOR | 8 | DRIVER WAS DISTRACTED | 1 | 5% |
| | | | - 10011 | TOTAL | 0 100,0 | WINNOR LINK OF VEDITY | 3 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 1 | 5% | |
| | | | | | | | UNK SEVERITY | 0 | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | UNK IF INJURED | 1 | | | 504 |

| | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 17 | 100% |
|-------------|----------|------|----------------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|------|
| VEHICLE TYP | | | ROAD CONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | | |
| | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | - | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 13 | 86% | DRY | 7 | 87% | DAYLIGHT | 6 75% | CLEAR | 7 87% | NONE | 7 | 77% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 2 | 13% | WET | 1 | 12% | STREET LIGHTS | 2 25% | RAIN | 1 12% | ANIMAL IN RDWY | 1 | 11% |
| TOTAL | 15 | 100% | TOTAL | 8 | 100% | TOTAL | 8 100% | TOTAL | 8 100% | TCD OBSTRUCTED | 1 | 11% |
| | | | 1.9.17.16 | | | | | | | TOTAL | 9 | 100% |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT



1 5%

17 100%

UNKNOWN

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310018 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0080 Offset 1521 and Segment 0080 Offset 1525) or (In County |
| | 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0081 Offset 1521 and Segment 0081 Offset 1525) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |
| | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)



3. SR 4004 Olney Avenue at 5th Street Segment 90, Offset 1548 to Segment 90, Offset 1585



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|------------------|----|
| Pedestrian | 7 |
| Angle | 3 |
| Head-on | 1 |
| Hit Fixed Object | 1 |
| Rear-end | 1 |
| Total | 13 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 10 |
| Street Lights | 3 |
| Total | 13 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 11 |
| Rain | 2 |
| Total | 13 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 1 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| Minor | 6 |
| Unk Severity | 7 |
| Unk If Injured | 1 |
| | |



rsa olney ave 90/1548 to 90/1585

Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006

Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0090 Offset 1548 and Segment 0090 Offset 1585) or (In Interest: County 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0091 Offset 1548 and Segment 0091 Offset 1585)

| MONTH OF | MONTH OF YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | | DAY OF WEEK | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|--------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|
| | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | JUL | AUG | SEP | DEC | | | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | CRASH | ES 2 | 2 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | |
| PCT | 7% | 7% | 7% | 15% | 7% | 15% | 7% | 30% | 1009 | F | CT 159 | 15% | 38% | 7% | 7% | 15% | 100% | |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 04 | 08 | 09 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | Ĩ |
|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| PCT | 7% | 7% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 7% | 7% | 15% | 7% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYPE | | | TY LEVE | Ĺ | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | | |
|---------|---------|------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|--|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRASHE | S PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT | |
| 2004 | 5 | 38% | PEDESTRIAN | 7 53% | MAJOR | 1 | 7% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 12 | 52% | |
| 2005 | 4 | 30% | ANGLE | 3 23% | MODERATE | 1 | 7% | MAJOR | 1 | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 3 | 13% | |
| 2006 | 4 | 30% | HEAD ON | 1 7% | MINOR | 6 | 46% | MODERATE | 2 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 2 | 8% | |
| TOTAL | 13 | 100% | HIT FIX OB I | 1 7% | UNK SEVERITY | 5 | 38% | MINOR | 6 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 8% | |
| 1.01112 | 1468 | CONSTRUCTS | PEADEND | 1 7% | TOTAL | 13 | 100% | LINK SEVERITY | 7 | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 1 | 4% | |
| | | | REAR END | 12 1009/ | TOTAL | 10 | 10070 | | 1 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | ा. | 4% | |
| | | | TOTAL | 13 100% | | | | UNK IF INJURED | | TAILGATING | 1 | 4% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 4% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 23 | 100% | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 23 | 100% |
|-------------|--------------|------|-------|----------|------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|------|---------------|---------|------|
| VEHICLE TYP | VEHICLE TYPE | | | ONDITION | | ILLUMINATION | | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY | FACTORS | |
| 7.2 | VEHICLES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | 15 | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 15 | 78% | DRY | 11 | 84% | DAYLIGHT | 10 76% | CLEAR | 11 | 84% | NONE | 11 | 78% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 1 | 5% | WET | 2 | 15% | STREET LIGHTS | 3 23% | RAIN | 2 | 15% | UNKNOWN | 2 | 14% |
| LARGE TRUCK | 1 | 5% | TOTAL | 13 | 100% | TOTAL | 13 100% | TOTAL | 13 | 100% | GLARE | 1 | 7% |
| SUV | 1 | 5% | | | | | 1 | | | | TOTAL | 14 | 100% |
| VAN | 1 | 5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 19 | 100% | | | | | | | | | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in lititation without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| 0620080310019 |
|---|
| Ikubli |
| (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0090 Offset 1548 and Segment 0090 Offset 1585) or (In County |
| 67 On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0091 Offset 1548 and Segment 0091 Offset 1585) |
| 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| STATE ROAD |
| |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





4. SR 4004 Olney Avenue at Mascher Street Segment 100, Offset 0 to Segment 100, Offset 10



| COLLISION TYPE | |
|-------------------|----|
| Angle | 4 |
| Pedestrian | 2 |
| Rear-end | 2 |
| Head-on | 1 |
| Opp Dir Sideswipe | 1 |
| Total | 10 |
| ILLUMINATION | |
| Daylight | 8 |
| Street Lights | 2 |
| Total | 10 |
| WEATHER | |
| Clear | 8 |
| Other | 1 |
| Rain | 1 |
| Total | 10 |
| SEVERITY COUNT | |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Major | 0 |
| Moderate | 1 |
| Minor | 8 |
| Unk Severity | 6 |
| Unk If Injured | 4 |



rsa olney ave 100/0000 to 100/0010



Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0100 Offset 0 and Segment 0100 Offset 10) or (In County 67 Interest: On State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0101 Offset 0 and Segment 0101 Offset 10)

| MONTH OF YEAR | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--|
| JA | N FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUL | DEC | | |
| CRASHES | 1 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | |
| PCT 10 | % 10% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 10% | 10% | 100% | |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 08 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 23 | |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| PCT | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 20% | 10% | 10% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TY | YPE | | CRASH SEVER | CRASH SEVERITY LEVEL | | | | DRIVER ACTIONS | DRIVER ACTIONS | | | |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---|----|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CR | ASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT | | |
| 2004 | 5 | 50% | ANGLE | 4 | 40% | MODERATE | 1 | 10% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 10 | 41% | | |
| 2005 | 2 | 20% | PEDESTRIAN | 2 | 20% | MINOR | 5 | 50% | MAJOR | 0 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 3 | 12% | | |
| 2006 | 3 | 30% | REAR END | 2 | 20% | UNK SEVERITY | 4 | 40% | MODERATE | 1 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 3 | 12% | | |
| TOTAL | 10 | 100% | HEAD ON | 1 | 10% | ΤΟΤΑΙ | 10 1 | 100% | MINOR | 8 | PROCEED W/O CLEARANCE | 2 | 8% | | |
| 10112 | | OPP DIR SS | 1 | 10% | 101/12 | | | UNK SEVERITY | 6 | RUNNING RED LIGHT | 2 | 8% | | | |
| | | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | | | | | 4 | FAILR MAINT PROP SPEED | 1 | 4% | | | |
| | | | TOTAL | TOTAL | TOTAL | TOTAL | 100% | | | | UNK IF INJURED | | RUNNING STOP SIGN | 1 | 4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TAILGATING | 1 | 4% | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | UNKNOWN | 1 | 4% | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 24 | 100% | | |
| VEHICLE | TYPE | | ROAD CONDI | TION | | ILLUMINATION | | | WEATHER | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTO | RS | | | |
| | VEHIC | LES PCT | CF | RASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | CRASH | ES PCT | | FACTORS | PCT | | |

| | VEHICLES | PCT | _ | CRASHES | PCT | 2 | CRASHES PCT | 2 | CRASHES | PCT | 12 | FACTORS | PCT |
|-------------|----------|------|-------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------|------|-------------------|---------|------|
| AUTOMOBILE | 16 | 84% | DRY | 8 | 80% | DAYLIGHT | 8 80% | CLEAR | 8 | 80% | NONE | 9 | 90% |
| SMALL TRUCK | 2 | 10% | ICE | 1 | 10% | STREET LIGHTS | 2 20% | OTHER | 1 | 10% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 1 | 10% |
| PEDALCYCLE | 1 | 5% | WET | 1 | 10% | TOTAL | 10 100% | RAIN | 1 | 10% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 19 | 100% | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | | | TOTAL | 10 | 100% | | | |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310020 |
|-------------------|--|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0100 Offset 0 and Segment 0100 Offset 10) or (In County 67 On |
| | State Route 4004(S) Between Segment 0101 Offset 0 and Segment 0101 Offset 10) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)







COLLISION TYPE Angle 4 Pedestrian 3 Rear-end 2 Total 9 ILLUMINATION Daylight 7 Dawn 1 Street Lights 1 Total 9 WEATHER Clear 6 2 Rain Other 1 Total 9 SEVERITY COUNT Fatalities 0 Major 1 Moderate 2 10 Minor Unk Severity 1 Unk If Injured 1



rsa olney ave 100/2382 to 100/2540

| Date Range: 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 Area of (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0100 Offset 2382 and Segment 0100 Offset 2540) Interest: | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | ISER_ID/ ubli/ <u>0620</u> | QUERY 0803100 | D: 22 PENNDOT |
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| MONTH OF | YEAR | | | | | | | | DAY O | WEEK | | | | | | |
| | MAR | APR | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | NOV | | | SUN | MON | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | |
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | CRASHES | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| PCT | 11% | 11% | 22% | 22% | 11% | 11% | 11% | 100% | PCT | 11% | 22% | 11% | 22% | 11% | 22% | 100% |

HOUR OF DAY

| | 00 | 06 | 08 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 99 | 1 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| CRASHES | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| PCT | 11% | 11% | 11% | 22% | 22% | 11% | 11% | 100% |

| YEAR | | | COLLISION TYPE | | CRASH SEVERIT | TY LEVEL | 3 | SEVERITY COUNT | | DRIVER ACTIONS | | |
|-------|---------|-------|----------------|--|---------------|----------|------|----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------|
| | CRASHES | PCT | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | PERSONS | | ACTIONS | PCT |
| 2004 | 4 | 44% | ANGLE 4 | 44% | MAJOR | 1 | 11% | FATALITIES | 0 | NO CONTRIBUTING ACTION | 7 | 38% |
| 2005 | 2 | 22% | PEDESTRIAN 3 | 33% | MODERATE | 2 | 22% | MAJOR | 1 | IMPROPER/CARELESS TURN | 3 | 16% |
| 2006 | 3 | 33% | REAR END 2 | 22% | MINOR | 5 | 55% | MODERATE | 2 | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING | 2 | 11% |
| TOTAL | 9 | 100% | ΤΟΤΑΙ 9 | 100% | UNK SEVERITY | 1 | 11% | MINOR | 10 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 11% |
| TOTAL | | 0.000 | | 01011101000000000000000000000000000000 | TOTAL | 9 | 100% | LINK SEVERITY | 1 | AFFECTED PHYSICAL COND | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | TOTAL | 0 | | | 1 | CARELESS PASS/LN CHNG | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | UNK IF INJURED | | TOO FAST FOR CONDITION | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | USING HAND-HELD PHONE | 1 | 5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 18 | 100% |

| | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 18 | 100% |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|---------|------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|
| VEHICLE TYP | VEHICLE TYPE ROAD CONDITION | | | | | ILLUMINATION | WEATHER | | | ENVIR/ROADWAY FACTORS | | | |
| 7.1 | VEHICLES | PCT | 0 | CRASHES | PCT | | CRASHES PCT | | CRASHES | PCT | | FACTORS | PCT |
| AUTOMOBILE | 16 | 94% | DRY | 5 | 55% | DAYLIGHT | 7 77% | CLEAR | 6 | 66% | NONE | 7 | 70% |
| VAN | 1 | 5% | WET | 4 | 44% | DAWN | 1 11% | RAIN | 2 | 22% | ANIMAL IN RDWY | 1 | 10% |
| TOTAL | 17 | 100% | TOTAL | 9 | 100% | STREET LIGHTS | 1 11% | OTHER | 1 | 11% | OTHER WEATHER COND | 1 | 10% |
| | | | | | | TOTAL | 9 100% | TOTAL | 9 | 100% | SLIPPERY ICE/SNOW | 1 | 10% |
| | | | | | | <u> </u> | | - | | | TOTAL | 10 | 10070 |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litidation without written permission from PennDOT CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)

NOTES:

1

The data available in this application is dynamic and should be used with care. Please take note of the following data alerts:

2 2007 crash records are incomplete

Data for the current year, 2007, is not fully represented in CDART. Crashes will be added for this year as they are made available to the Department. Include this year in queries with caution.

3 Complete data years

Complete records of reportable crashes are available in CDART for the following years: 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006

REPORT PARAMETERS:

| Query ID: | 0620080310022 |
|-------------------|---|
| User ID: | Ikubli |
| Area of Interest: | (In County 67 On State Route 4004(P) Between Segment 0100 Offset 2382 and Segment 0100 Offset 2540) |
| Date Range: | 1/1/2004 to 12/31/2006 |
| Criteria: | STATE ROAD |

IMPORTANT: This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C. §409 and may not be disclosed or used in litication without written permission from PennDOT. CDART - CRASH SUMMARY REPORT (09-06)





APPENDIX I Photo Log – Olney Avenue

SIDEWALKS

Example of sidewalk disrepair/urban ills, corridor-wide issue



Sidewalk tripping hazard along Olney Avenue near Front Street



Deteriorated sidewalk at bus stop along Olney Avenue



Sidewalk in disrepair between Front and B Streets



SIDEWALKS

Crosswalk deterioration, sidewalk obstruction at Olney and Fairhill Streets



Deteriorated sidewalk/tripping hazard at Wagner Street



Drainage inlet along Olney Avenue



Construction temporarily blocks pedestrian way on Olney Avenue



PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

Missing curb ramp along Olney Avenue



Faded crosswalk markings over side street along Olney Avenue



Faded crosswalk over Olney Avenue side street



RISING SUN AVENUE

Trolley tracks from former Olney Avenue trolley near Rising Sun Avenue



Bus stop on Olney Avenue at Arbor Street near Rising Sun Avenue



Bus stop on Olney eastbound near Rising Sun Avenue



Rail tracks of former Olney Avenue Trolley near rising Sun Avenue



5th STREET

Obstructed walkway along Olney Avenue at 5th Street



Bus stop at Olney and 5th Streets



Faded pedestrian crosswalk at Olney Avenue and 5th Street



10th STREET

Tripping hazard on Olney Avenue near 10th Street



Looking east toward 10th Street



OLNEY STATION at BROAD STREET

Crossing over Broad Street at Olney station



At Olney Station looking south on Broad Street



Olney station at Broad and Olney Streets



Traffic congestion and foot traffic at Park Avenue near Olney Station



CORRIDOR-WIDE ISSUES

Cyclist on Olney Avenue



Deteriorated pavement along Olney Avenue



Trash along Olney Avenue



CORRIDOR-WIDE ISSUES

Obstructed sidewalk on Olney Avenue near 7th Street



Inconsistent sidewalk type, litter, general neglect



Tripping hazard, general neglect along Olney Avenue



CORRIDOR-WIDE ISSUES

Tripping hazard



Prohibited parking at School dropoff near B Street



Example of sign vandalism along Olney Avenue



APPENDIX J Response Sheet – Olney Avenue
SR 4004 Olney Avenue Road Safety Audit

RSA Response Sheet

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Signals | | | | |
| Some signals may not be warranted | Re-evaluate signal warrants | | | |
| Approximately 64% of all crashes have occurred at signalized intersections from 2004 to 2006 | Re-evaluate clearance interval | | | |
| Sun glare compromises sight distance | Add back plates to signal heads | | | |
| Signal mountings are outdated | Upgrade signals with mast arms | | | |
| Signs | | | | |
| Inconsistent location of street name and one-way signs | Conduct a sign inventory and address consistency issue | | | |
| Signs were unreadable, outdated, and without reflectivity | Replace with new signs that meet code specifications and are reflective | | | |
| Pedestrian Crossings | | | | |
| Missing pedestrian signal heads at every intersection | Upgrade existing traffic signals with man/hand pedestrian | | | |

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| except at Broad Street. Damaged/depressed/ missing curbs Curb ramps not consistently ADA compliant Drainage issues at curb ramps Mixed crosswalk types (conventional and continental) NOTE: Twenty six percent (26%) of all crashes were pedestrian related from 2004 to 2006. | signal heads with countdown timers Repair walkways at intersections (consider bulb outs as needed) Make all curb ramps ADA compliant Address drainage issues at curb ramps Standardize all signalized intersections with continental style striping, install at nonsignalized intersections where deemed necessary, i.e. school zones, or other trip generators | | | |
| Sidewalks Sidewalks in disrepair and/or missing SEPTA poles obstruct the sidewalk Poorly set drainage grates create obstructions for bicyclists and pedestrians Illegal parking on sidewalks | Repair/replace sidewalks where necessary Remove old poles Reset drainage grates as necessary and make flush with pavement Coordinate with the Philadelphia Streets Dept. to | | | |

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | develop a strategy to prevent sidewalk parking that obstructs the pedestrian way | | | |
| Bicycling Substandard drainage grates for bicycling Bicycle accommodation is not provided Lack of bike parking at commercial centers and at Olney Transportation Center Poorly defined ROW at intersections . | Convert to bicycle safe drainage grates, improve in tandem with improved ADA compliant curb ramps Install shared lane markings (aka "sharrows"), and add additional "share the road" signs Install appropriate bicycle parking at the transportation center and commercial centers Re-stripe lanes as necessary NOTE: Although only 2 out of 132 crashes were pedalcycle related this is considered an indicator of bicycle usage. These improvements are pro- active in an effort to improve the bicycling environment. | | | |
| | | | | |

| Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Transit | | | | |
| Buses stopped in the travel way cause traffic to back up, result in motorists using the opposing lane to bypass buses | Enforce parking restrictions at bus stops Consider curb bulb outs at selected bus stop locations that have high ridership | | | |
| Speeding Field observations revealed excessive speeds and red light running | Potential for speed reduction through engineering and enforcement strategies i.e., lane narrowing (note: existing lanes are already 10 feet wide along some parts of the corridor), automated enforcement, targeted police patrol, "Safety Corridor" designation (where fines are doubled); evaluate feasibility of reducing the posted speed limit to 25 mph based on speed study | | | |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| <i>Rising Sun Avenue</i> Trolley tracks create problems for motorists and bicyclists Uncontrolled access at gas station on both Olney Ave and Rising Sun Ave | Address track issues by removing/paving over/or other safety treatment Design access management plan for gas station, close off duplicative access to provide improved pedestrian conditions, i.e., more sidewalk and a formal/prominent bus | | | |
| Number 18 SEPTA bus stop on Rising Sun Ave southbound causes back ups and pedestrian problems | Relocate bus stop to 2nd block of Olney Ave | | | |
| Stop bar location on Olney Ave eastbound at Rising Sun Ave constricts mobility | Push back the Olney Ave eastbound approach stop bar to allow easier movements from Rising Sun Ave northbound to Olney Ave westbound | | | |
| | Additional recommendations: Consider shifting double yellow center lines to create a wider westbound lane on Olney Ave | | | |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | to ease left turns from Rising Sun Ave northbound Add dotted lead line to guide turning traffic Investigate need for former trolley turn around, consider better use/new design for transit transfer | | | |
| Between Rosehill St and Ormes St Two and a half (2.5) foot diameter tree trunk in clear zone Missing curb Old trolley pole across from Rosehill St Missing curb near Ormes St, and alley between Ormes St and B St is missing pavement | Remove tree trunk Replace curbs Remove pole Replace curbs and missing pavement | | | |
| B St Missing school zone ahead warning sign on B St approaching Olney Ave Traffic signal head is tilted out of view for motorists on | Add school zone warning sign Position the traffic signal head facing oncoming northbound | | | |

| | Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | northbound B St SEPTA "no stopping" sign is faded School crossing sign is ineffective | traffic on B Street Replace the sign Add arrow plaque to the bottom of the existing school crossing sign for westbound | | | |
| Ar to | ea of RR Overpass (Front St B St) | Olney Ave | | | |
| • | Sidewalk trash, fence in disrepair, missing and broken sidewalks/curbs (especially along Olney Ave westbound near Front St., deformed sidewalk patch is tripping hazard) | Perform sidewalk maintenance, repair/replace as necessary; remove trash | | | |
| • | Hilly terrain encourages speeding | Consider calming traffic by narrowing lanes (widen striped center area) | | | |
| • | Unsanctioned drop off and pick up area by school used regularly | Establish a designated drop-off and pick-up zone for the school | | | |
| Fre | ont St | | | | |
| • | Missing/broken/uneven sidewalks | Repair/replace sidewalks | | | |
| • | Southeast corner there is a low point gathering water | Repair low point to address drainage problem | | | |

| | Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|----------|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | Faded pavement markings | Re-stripe all pavement markings | | | |
| 1/0 | | markings | | | |
| • • | Drainage issue at the northwest corner at the curb ramp Faded/missing pedestrian crossing markings Crosswalks appear too close to stop bar South side of Olaov Ave | Repair ramp and fix drainage issue Upgrade all pedestrian crossings with continental style crosswalks Reposition stop bars to appropriate location | | | |
| • | south side of Olney Ave west of Mascher St, sidewalk is badly damaged | Repair sidewaik | | | |
| Be | tween Palethorp St and 2nd | | | | |
| • | Big hole forming in pavement | Evaluate need for base repair | | | |
| An • | nerican St Open trench obstructing pedestrian way | Clear way and repair sidewalk | | | |
| 3rc • | / St One way sign facing wrong way | Reorient sign | | | |
| 4th • | 5t Traffic signal pole is located in curb ramp (SW corner) | Relocate signal pole or curb ramp as appropriate | | | |

| | Site-Specific Issues | | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | Signal may not be warranted | ٠ | Re-evaluate signal warrants | | | |
| 5th | n St | | | | | |
| • | Drainage inlet located in | • | Relocate curb ramp and/or | | | |
| | curb ramp area | | inlet | | | |
| • | Heavy pedestrian volume in | • | Evaluate needs and benefits of | | | |
| | the 5 th St business district | | and lane striping for | | | |
| | Heavy bus transfer volume | | Intersection | | | |
| • | heavy bus transfer volume | • | Add pedesthan signal heads | | | |
| | SEPTA bus routes (17, 18 | | | | | |
| | 26) | | | | | |
| • | Missing "no turn on red" | • | Add "no turn on red" signs | | | |
| - | signs | • | enforce | | | |
| • | Pedestrian crossings are | • | Re-stripe pedestrian crossings | | | |
| | faded | | | | | |
| • | Post mounted signal heads | • | Install traffic signals on | | | |
| | can be hard to see | | overhead mast arms for better | | | |
| | | | visibility | | | |
| Fa | irhill St | | | | | |
| • | Poor roadway condition | ٠ | Repair roadway, repave, re- | | | |
| | | | stripe | | | |
| • | Local car wash/inspection | ٠ | Enforce no parking on | | | |
| | station using sidewalk to | | sidewalks | | | |
| | store vehicles, obstructing | | | | | |
| | pedestrian ROW | | | | | |
| ٠ | Poor sidewalk condition in | ٠ | Address drainage issues and | | | |

| Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| vicinity of 6th St, drainage problem | repair sidewalk | | | |
| Southside of Olney Ave | | | | |
| between 6 th St and Fairhill St | | | | |
| Broken post | Remove post or replace missing sign | | | |
| West of 7th St. (moving west) | | | | |
| Missing bridge height restriction sign | Replace sign | | | |
| Hospital sign badly faded | Replace sign | | | |
| Lump of asphalt creating tripping hazard | Remove tripping hazard | | | |
| Drainage problem on north side | Address drainage problem | | | |
| Southeast Corner of Wagner | | | | |
| St and Olney Ave | | | | |
| General lack of maintenance and deterioration in the area of the bridge overpass Bus stop area missing crosswalk and designated pedestrian crossing area | Conduct an evaluation and perform maintenance and repair where needed Add pedestrian crosswalks, warning signs, and standard pedestrian amenities; this is supported by observed pedestrian activity | | | |
| St Southwest corner drainage grate is a tripping hazard | Remove tripping hazard | | | |

| | Corridor-wide Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|----|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | 10 th St carries the SEPTA C bus and there is a significant transfer point at Olney Ave—high traffic volume at this point is a safety hazard to pedestrians | Raise the profile of pedestrians and transit riders by improving the transit and pedestrian amenities | | | |
| Bt | w 10 th and 11 th | | | | |
| • | Damaged curb and sidewalk | Upgrade curb and sidewalk | | | |
| 11 | th St | | | | |
| • | Hospital sign is faded Gas utility cover ajar | Replace hospital sign Replace utility cover and make flush with pavement | | | |
| • | Curb ramps are collecting water | Repair curb ramps to address drainage, make ADA compliant; coordinate w/ Philadelphia Public Works Dept. | | | |
| • | Debris in the area | Clean up the area | | | |
| • | Damaged signal visor | Repair signal visor | | | |
| Bt | w 11th and Broad | | | | |
| • | Marvine St curb ramp is offset | Realign curb ramp and make ADA compliant. | | | |
| • | At 12 th St, "no parking" signs | Replace no parking signs, | | | |
| | for bus zone are damaged | enforce zones | | | |
| ٠ | Stop sign at 12th St was | Reposition stop sign | | | |

| | Site-Specific Issues | Recommended Improvements | Decision Agree/Reject | Planned Completion Date | Comments |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| • | turned wrong way At Park Ave one way sign facing wrong way, and is blocked by the pole | Move/reposition one way sign | | | |
| • | <i>rk St and Olney Ave</i> Missing pedestrian signal heads, other amenities Vehicles bypassing stop bar and stopping in crosswalk, obstructing pedestrians | Install pedestrian countdown signal heads, and other pedestrian amenities as deemed appropriate Consider prohibiting traffic from Olney between Park and Broad, make bus only with dedicated pedestrian plaza, evaluate impacts of traffic diversion | | | |
| • | ney Ave and Broad St Heavy pedestrian traffic with heavy vehicular traffic in the area, which heightens pedestrian safety concerns Pedestrian crossings over Broad St are very long Pedestrian heads not working properly | Consider signs and pavement markings to raise the profile of pedestrians/transit riders Consider redesign of pedestrian refuge island at the Broad St crossing Repair pedestrian signal heads (i.e., walk/don't walk/walk) | | | |

APPENDIX K PennDOT Scope of Work – Olney Avenue

District 6-0 Safety Plan Section 148 (HSIP) Planned Safety Projects



Project Purpose:

The purpose of this project is to reduce the number of crashes and related injuries and severity of the crashes which occur along the approximate 1.5 mile section of Olney Avenue, between Broad Street and Rising Sun Avenue, in the City of Philadelphia. The anticipated benefits of this project are:

- Minimization of the number of vehicle/pedestrian crashes.
- Minimization of the number of vehicular only crashes, specifically angle and rear-end type crashes. .

Project Scope:

The scope of work for this project was developed from the Road Safety Audit which was conducted Transportation. A more detailed description of the scope of work is included in the attached cost in April 2008 and undertaken by DVRPC in conjunction with the Pennsylvania Department of estimate, and is summarized below:

- appropriateness (warrants met) of the existing traffic signals and alternative traffic controls Conduct traffic signal warrant analyses at select intersections to determine the where appropriate. •
 - Install overhead mast-arm traffic signals consistently throughout the corridor. .
- Install pedestrian signals and other pedestrian amenities (crosswalks, signing, etc) throughout the corridor. ٠
 - Install flashing school signs and speed limits at select locations within the corridor. ٠
 - Repair/Replace sidewalks within the corridor.
 - Make all curb ramps ADA compliant.

Benefit-to-Cost Ratio Calculation

The estimated benefit, in terms of crash reductions, for this project is \$3.48 million per year. See attached sheet Titled "Olney Avenue HSIP Benefit Calculations". The estimated cost for the above scope of work is \$ 5.25 million. See the attached "Cost Estimate Sheet" (three pages). Assuming a 20-year life cycle for this safety project, the annual cost of the project is \$262,500.

The project will have an annual benefit-to-cost ratio of \$3,480,000:\$262,500 or 13.3 to 1.

OLNEY AVENUE HSIP BENEFIT CALCULATIONS

| 2007 |
|---------|
| rough |
| 03 th |
| : 20 |
| Crashes |

| Crash Type | # of Crashes | | Average Cost per Crash ¹ | | Total Costs |
|----------------|--------------|---|--|----|---------------|
| Head On | 18 | X | \$248,122 | | \$ 4,466,196 |
| Angle | 58 | X | \$ 76,035 | | \$ 4,410,030 |
| Rear End | 46 | X | \$ 39,403 | | \$ 1,812,538 |
| Pedestrian | 48 | X | \$214,683 | | \$10,304,784 |
| Sideswipe | 16 | X | \$ 65,301 | | \$ 1,044,816 |
| : Fixed Object | 5 | X | \$ 93,009 | | \$ 465,045 |
| Other | 1 | X | \$ 39,706 | 11 | \$ 39,706 |
| Total | 192 | | Total 5 Year Cost | | \$22,543,115 |
| | | | Average Annual Cost | | \$4.5 million |

1 From CDART: Accident Cost by Category Report for Accidents in Years 2003 to 2007.

According to the CDART data, the crash rate for the study corridor ranged from 3.11 to 5.66 times higher than PennDOT's homogeneous five-year rate for the same time period. The average crash rate for the three sections is $(3.11+4.52+5.66) = 13.29 \div 3 = 4.43$.

consistent with statewide averages for similar corridors, then the expected crash rate for the postcorridors with similar characteristics during the 2003 through 2007 period. If it is assumed that The corridor experienced an average crash rate that was approximately 4.43 times higher than improvement period will be $1 \div 4.43$ or 22.6 percent of the current rate. This translates into a post-improvement annual cost of \$1.02 million. The expected benefit will be \$4.5 million the planned safety improvements will produce a crash rate (results in a reduction) that is \$1.02 million or \$3.48 million per year.

| COST ESTIMATE | iii | | | Order of |
|--|--|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Intersection / Location | Proposed Work | Construction | Engineering cost | Magnitude Cost Estimate |
| Broad Street | Install new signal with mast arms, install signs and pavement markings to raise profile of pedestrians and transit riders, redesign pedestrian refuge island at Broad Street crossing | 000'06\$ | \$13,500 | \$103,500 |
| Park Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| North 13 th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| Between North 11 th Street and Broad Street | Realign curb ramp and make ADA compliant | \$8,000 | \$1,200 | \$9,200 |
| North 11 th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| | Replace utility cover and make flush with pavement | \$5,000 | \$750 | \$5,750 |
| | Repair curb ramps to address drainage, make ADA compliant | \$5,000 | \$750 | \$5,750 |
| North 10 th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |
| Between North 10 th Street and North 11 th Street | Raise the profile of pedestrians and transit riders by improving transit and pedestrian amenities | \$10,000 | \$1,500 | \$11,500 |
| North 7 th Street | Install new signal with mast arms | \$70,000 | \$10,500 | \$80,500 |

This traffic and engineering study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C.§409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

| 500 \$375 \$2,875 | 000 \$750 \$5,750 | ,000 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 000 \$750 \$5,750 | .000 \$11,250 \$86,250 | .000 \$10,500 \$80,500 | .000 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 000 \$13,500 \$103,500 | 000 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 000 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 000 \$750 \$5,750 | 000 \$2,250 \$17,250 | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| Install pedestrian crossing, pedestrian warning signs, and general pedestrian amenities \$ | Address drainage issues \$ | Install new signal with mast arms | Address drainage issues and repave roadway | Implement pedestrian scramble phase and lane striping for intersection, install new signal with mast arms, relocate curb ramp and/or inlet, install no turn on red signs and restripe pedestrian crossings \$7 | Install new signal with mast arms | Install new signal with mast arms | t Replace sidewalk \$9 | Install new signal with mast arms | Install new signal with mast arms | Repair ramp and fix drainage issues Repair/replace | sidewalks, reposition stop bars to appropriate location \$1 | Inctall naw signal with |
| Southeast corner of of Wagner Street and Olney Avenue | West of North 7 th Street (westbound) | North 6 th Street | Fairhill Street | North 5 th Street | North 4 th Street | North 3 rd Street | American Stree | North 2 nd Street | Mascher Street | | | |

This traffic and engineering study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C.§409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

Page 5 of 7.

District 6-0 Safety Plan Section 148 (HSIP) Planned Safety Projects

| | 0 \$2,250 \$17,250 | 3750 \$5,750 |) \$750 \$5,750 |) \$750 \$5,750 | 0 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 0 \$750 \$5,750 |) \$2,250 \$17,250 | 3 \$10,500 \$80,500 | 0 \$37,500 \$287,500 | 0 \$143,750 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | \$15,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$70,000 | \$5,000 | \$15,000 | \$70,000 | \$250,000 | \$125,000 |
| Planned Safety Projects | Repair/replace sidewalks | Kepair low point to address drainage issue | Consider traffic calming by narrowing lanes (widen center area) | Establish a safe drop-off and pick-up zone for the school | Install new signal with mast arms | Replace missing curb, remove old trolley pole across from Rosehill Street Replace missing curb near Ormes Street and | the missing pavement between Ormes Street and B Street | Install new signal with mast arms | Address trolley track issues by removing Design access management plan for the | duplicative access to provide improved pedestrian conditions, push back the Olney Avenue approach stop bar to allow for easier movements from Rising Sun Avenue WB, push back the Olney Avenue approach stop bar to allow for easier movements from Rising Sun Avenue WB, relocate bus stop to 2 nd block of Olney Avenue, consider |
| Section 148 (HSIP) | | | Area of RR Overpass (Front Street to B Street) | | B Street | Between Rosehill Street and Ormes Street | | Rising Sun Avenue | | |

This traffic and engineering study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa.C.S. §3754 and 23 U.S.C.§409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.

Page 6 of 7.

District 6-0 Safety Plan

| \$5,250,383 | | | Total | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| \$477,308 | \$62,258 | \$415,050 | Contingency (10 %) | |
| \$4,773,075 | \$622,575 | \$4,150,500 | | |
| \$1,437,500 | \$187,500 | \$1,250,000 | Redesign/repair ADA compliant curb ramps and inlets at select intersections | |
| \$1,437,500 | \$187,500 | \$1,250,000 | Repair curb and sidewalk at select locations | Corridor Wide |
| | | | shifting double yellow center lines to create wider VVB lane for increased mobility from Rising Sun Avenue, add dotted center line | |

APPENDIX L Checklist

CHECKLIST

Audit Team Member

GENERAL ISSUES

| <u>Item #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 Drainage | Do drainage items seem to be adequate? | | |
| | Are drainage items clear of debris? | | |
| 2 Landscaping | Is landscaping in accordance with guidelines (sight distance, clearances etc.) | | |
| 3 Public Utilities | Are boxes, poles, and/or posts located in a safe position? | | |
| | Do the above items interfere with sight distance? | | |
| 4 Access Management | Are there locations where access management is problematic? | | |
| | Are driveways placed close to crossing? | | |
| 5 Lighting | Is lighting needed in specific locations? | | |

ALIGNMENT AND CROSS SECTION

| <u>ltem #</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|-----------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 Visibility | Are sight distances adequate for the speed of traffic on Erie/Olney Avenues? | | |
| | Is adequate sight distance provided at intersections? | | |

| 2 Driver expectation | Are there any sections of the roadway which may cause driver confusion such as: a. Is alignment of roadway clearly defined? |
|---------------------------------|---|
| | b. Are crossroads or hidden driveways properly signed along corridor? |
| | c. Do streetlight and tree lines conform to the road alignment? |
| 3 Widths | Are all the traffic lanes and roadway widths adequate? |
| 4 Erie Avenue old trolley | Is the old trolley line used for left turning vehicles? |
| line | Is trolley line used as pedestrian refuge for bus passengers? |
| | Is the trolley line clearly delineated from travel lanes? |

INTERSECTIONS

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Are there any roadside objects nearby | | |
| Location | which would intrude on driver's line of | | |
| | sight? | | |
| | Are the intersections adequate for all vehicular movements? | | |
| 2 Controls | Are pavement markings and intersection control signing satisfactory? | | |
| | Are there any pedestrian signals? | | |
| 3 Signage | Is the intersection appropriately signed? | | |

| | Are there advance warning signs indicating the intersection? | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | Are signs appropriately located and of the appropriate size? | |
| 4 Layout | Is the intersection layout obvious to all users? | |
| | Is the alignment of curbs satisfactory? | |
| | Are turning radii and tapers appropriate? | |
| | Are driveways located at or near the intersections? | |
| 5 Visibility, sight | Is sight distance adequate for all movements and all users? | |
| distance | Does a skewed intersection direct drivers' focus away from crossing pedestrians? | |
| 6 Transit | Are there bus stops located near the intersections? | |
| | a. If so are the bus stops on the near side or far side? | |
| 7 Turn Lanes | Do the turning lanes have sufficient storage? | |
| | Are there locations where a left turn lane needs to be provided? | |
| | Do turning vehicles pose a hazard to pedestrians? | |

TRAFFIC SIGNALS

| Item # Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|

| 1 Signal Operation 2 Visibility | Are traffic signals operating correctly? (Example clearance time) Are traffic signals clearly visible to approaching motorists? | |
|---|--|--|
| 3 Signal Upgrading | Do the signals need to be upgraded? | |
| 4 Pedestrian Signal | Are traffic and pedestrian signals timed so that wait times and crossing times are reasonable? | |
| Timing | Is there a problem because of an inconsistency in pedestrian actuation (or detection) types? | |
| | Are all pedestrian signals and push buttons functioning correctly and safely? | |
| | Are ADA accessible push buttons provided and properly located? | |
| | Are there locations where a pedestrian signal is warranted? | |

PEDESTRIANS

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Are there schools, transit stations, or | | |
| Land Use Factors | other pedestrian generators nearby? | | |
| 2 Sidewalks | Are sidewalks continuous throughout the corridor? | | |
| | Are the sidewalks in good condition (not even, cracked, etc.)? | | |
| | Are the sidewalks wide enough to accommodate persons using mobility aides? | | |
| | Is the sidewalk width adequate for pedestrian volumes? | | |

| 3 | Are the conditions at driveways | |
|---------------|---|--|
| Driveways | intersecting sidewalks endangering | |
| | pedestrians? | |
| | Do drivers look for and yield to | |
| | pedestrians when turning into and out of | |
| | driveways? | |
| 4 | Are crosswalks provided at | |
| Facilities at | intersections? | |
| Intersections | | |
| | Are the pedestrian ramps adequate? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Is a there any pedestrian refuge Island | |
| | needed at key intersections? | |
| | Are there redestries signals leasted at | |
| | Are there pedesthan signals located at | |
| | | |
| | Is the intersection clearly delineated for | |
| | the visually impaired? | |
| | | |
| | Is there adequate drainage at the | |
| | intersection to prevent ponding? | |
| | | |
| 5 | Is there a school zone? | |
| Around | | |
| Schools | | |
| | Is a school crossing provided? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Are there appropriate advance warning | |
| | signs provided? | |
| 6 | Is the speed limit appropriate for all road | |
| Erie & Olney | users? | |
| Avenues | le there are attract rearising that would | |
| | impede pedeetriep visibility? | |
| | impede pedestrian visibility? | |
| | Are there safety concerns for pedestrian | |
| | crossings at unsignalized intersection? | |
| | | |
| 7 | Is the sidewalk adequately lit for | |
| | | |
| Liahtina | pedestrians to see and feel safe? | |

| | Are there dark places or hiding places that represent a personal security issue? Are the pedestrian crosswalks adequately lit for pedestrians and motorists? | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| 8 | Are there locations where a fence | |
| Fencing | should be provided? | |
| 9 Visibility and | Are pedestrians waiting to cross the street visible to motorists? | |
| Sight | | |
| Distance | Can pedestrians see approaching vehicles? | |
| | Are there temporary or permanent obstructions near crosswalks (parked vehicles, vegetation, fences, etc.) | |

<u>BICYCLISTS</u>

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| | Are there share the road signs posted? | | |
| | Is the road surface of suitable quality for bicyclists? | | |
| | Are drainage grates bicycle friendly? | | |
| | Are parked vehicles an obstruction to bicyclists? | | |

<u>TRANSIT</u>

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 Buses | Are bus stops located at the far side or near side of the intersection? | | |

| Are bus stops signed appropriately? | |
|--|--|
| Are bus stop locations near existing driveways? | |
| Are there adequate waiting areas for pedestrians around bus stops (shelter or bench)? | |
| Are bus stop locations safe for passengers boarding and disembarking or leaving the bus? | |
| Is fencing needed at transit facilities? | |
| Are vehicles illegally parked at bus stops? | |

ON STREET PARKING

| <u>ltem #</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 Parking | Are there time parking restriction signs posted? | | |
| | Does parking obstruct bicycle or through-lane traffic? | | |
| | Is parking located at the edge of intersections that could cause conflict for right turning traffic? | | |
| | Does parking obstruct vehicular or pedestrian movement? | | |

SIGNAGE, PAVEMENT MARKINGS, DELINEATION, AND LIGHTING

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 Signage | Are there signs missing from key locations? | | |

| | Are signs easy to understand? | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | Are the correct signs used for each | |
| | situation and is each sign necessary? | |
| | | |
| | Are signs effective for all likely | |
| | conditions (i.e. day night oncoming | |
| | beadlights etc)? | |
| | Are there locations where there is sign | |
| | cluttor? | |
| | ciuller? | |
| | Are all pocossary regulatory warping | |
| | Are all necessary regulatory, warning, | |
| | and direction signs (including detours) in | |
| | Are they redundent? | |
| | Are they redundant? | |
| | | |
| | Are troffic sizes in their correct lesstices | |
| | Are traffic signs in their correct locations | |
| | and properly positioned with respect to | |
| | lateral clearance and height? | |
| | Are signs placed so as to restrict sight | |
| | distance, particularly for vehicles? | |
| | | |
| | Do signs supports conform to | |
| | guidelines? | |
| | | |
| 2 | Does existing pavement markings need | |
| Pavement | to be re-painted? | |
| Markings | | |
| and | Have raised pavement markers been | |
| Delineation | installed? | |
| | | |
| | Are pavement markings easily visible | |
| | and effective for all likely conditions (i.e., | |
| | at night, day, inclement weather, etc.)? | |
| | Are guide posts correctly placed, clean, | |
| | and visible? | |
| | | |
| | Are marked crosswalks wide enough? | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 3 | Is appropriate lighting installed at | |
| Lighting | intersections, pedestrian and bicycle | |
| | crossings? | |

| Are the appropriate types of poles used for all locations and correctly installed? | |
|--|--|
| Are all locations free of any lighting that may conflict visually with signs? | |

<u>PAVEMENT</u>

| <u>ltem #</u> | Description | <u>Check</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Is the pavement free of defects (i.e., | | |
| Pavement | excessive roughness, potholes) that | | |
| defects | could result in safety problems? | | |
| 2 | Is the pavement free of areas where | | |
| Ponding | ponding may occur resulting in a safety | | |
| | problem? | | |

Title of Report: ERIE AVENUE AND OLNEY AVENUE ROAD SAFETY AUDIT - CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Publication No.: 08048

Date Published: July 2008

Geographic Area Covered: The study area includes portions of both Erie and Olney Avenues located east of Broad Street in Philadelphia, PA.

Key Words: Road, safety, audit, potential, fatalities, injuries, reportable, crashes, issues, strategies, coordination, engineering, enforcement, education, stakeholders, prioritize, intersection, speed limit, traffic volumes, stakeholders, audit team, geometry, pavement markings, ADA, signs, traffic signals, crosswalk, sidewalk, curb ramp.

ABSTRACT: This report documents the process and findings of the Erie and Olney Avenues, Road Safety Audits (RSA) undertaken by the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC). This project reflects the collaboration between PennDOT District 6 and DVRPC to address locations in the region with safety issues in order to obligate Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding for remedial actions with the aim of making the region's roadways safer. These corridors were identified in Pennsylvania's Top Five Percent Report in 2007 as two of seventeen locations exhibiting the most severe safety needs. The goal of the audit is to generate improvement recommendations and countermeasures for these sections of Erie and Olney Avenues in an effort to reduce the incidence of motor vehicle crashes. Emphasis is placed on identifying low-cost, quick turnaround safety projects to address the issues where possible. The report details safety issues and remedial improvement strategies along each study corridor, identified by the audit team. Priorities for implementation are identified as well as a scope of work and cost estimates formulated by a consultant team under contract with PennDOT District 6.

Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission

190 North Independence Mall West 8th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106-1520 Phone: 215-592-1800 Fax: 215-592-9125 Internet: www.dvrpc.org

Staff Contact: Kevin Murphy, Senior Transportation Planner Regina Moore, Transportation Engineer

215-238-2864 <u>kmurphy@dvrpc.org</u> 215-238-2862 <u>rmoore@dvrpc.org</u>





Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission

190 N. Independence Mall West 8th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106-1520 P:215.592.1800 F:215.592.9125 www.dvrpc.org
